

GAUGED GROMOV-WITTEN THEORY FOR SMALL SPHERES

EDUARDO GONZALEZ AND CHRIS WOODWARD

ABSTRACT. For smooth projective G -varieties, we equate the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants for sufficiently small area and a fixed genus zero curve with the invariant part of equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants; this is part of the *quantum non-abelian localization* conjecture proposed in [38]. From this we deduce a gauged version of abelianization from Gromov-Witten invariants. In the symplectic setting, we prove that any sequence of genus zero symplectic vortices with vanishing area has a subsequence that converges after gauge transformation to a holomorphic map with zero average moment map. Conversely, any such map is the limit of such a sequence.

1. INTRODUCTION

The subject of this paper is the gauged generalization of Gromov-Witten theory. As with ordinary Gromov-Witten theory, gauged Gromov-Witten invariants have both symplectic and holomorphic definitions. The former are more natural, while the latter are often easier to define. We begin with the symplectic approach. Let K be a compact Lie group, X a Hamiltonian K -manifold equipped with an invariant compatible almost complex structure J , and Σ a compact Riemann surface. A *gauged holomorphic map* from Σ to X is a pair (A, u) consisting of a connection A on a principal K -bundle $P \rightarrow \Sigma$ together with a section of the associated fiber bundle $P(X) := P \times_K X$, holomorphic with respect to the complex structure determined by J and A . The space of gauged holomorphic maps has a formal Hamiltonian action of the group of unitary gauge transformations $\mathcal{K}(P)$. The moment map depends on a choice of two-form $\text{Vol}_\Sigma \in \Omega^2(\Sigma)$ and an invariant inner product $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathfrak{k} \times \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$, which induces an identification $\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. The symplectic quotient is the moduli space of *symplectic vortices* [7], [12], [6], [29]

$$(1) \quad M(P, X) := \{(A, u) \mid F_A + u^*P(\Phi) \text{Vol}_\Sigma = 0\} / \mathcal{K}(P),$$

We denote by $M(\Sigma, X)$ the union of $M(P, X)$ over topological types of bundles $P \rightarrow \Sigma$. In the case that X is compact, one obtains a natural compactification $\overline{M}(\Sigma, X)$ by allowing bubbling of u in the fibers of $P(X)$, so that u is a stable map to $P(X)$ in the sense of Kontsevich; we call such a nodal vortex (A, u) *stable* if it has finite automorphism group.

Date: 7-19-2009.

Partially supported by NSF grant DMS060509.

The moduli space of vortices has a holomorphic description, which gives an easier method of defining virtual fundamental classes since in algebraic geometry it is not necessary to achieve transversality. Suppose that G is the complexification of K and X is a smooth projective G -variety. A gauged holomorphic map (A, u) corresponds to a holomorphic structure on the G -bundle associated to P together with a holomorphic section of $P(X)$, or equivalently, a holomorphic map to the *quotient stack* X/G . By a result of Mundet [28], a datum (A, u) is a vortex iff the corresponding holomorphic pair (P, u) satisfies a stability condition generalizing that for stable pairs. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ the moduli stack of semistable gauged maps. If all semistable gauged maps have finite stabilizer then this is a Deligne-Mumford stack whose underlying coarse moduli space is $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)$. The machinery of Behrend-Fantechi [3] applies in this case to construct virtual fundamental classes and the *gauged Gromov-Witten invariants* (for a fixed curve) are defined as pairings with this class.

A novel feature of gauged Gromov-Witten theory is the dependence of the moduli spaces on the choice of area form. In order to study this dependence we introduce a real parameter ρ and say that a ρ -vortex is a pair (A, u) satisfying the symplectic vortex equations with area form ρVol_Σ , or ρ -semistable if it satisfies Mundet's stability condition with this choice. The study of variation of moduli spaces with respect to stability conditions has by now a long history; the typical behavior in any one-parameter family of stability conditions is a discrete sequence of *wall-crossings* with simplifications of the stability condition occurring in either limit, see for example [17], [35]. In the present case there are two interesting limits in which the area of the surface tends to infinity or zero. The former has been studied by Gaio and Salamon [12]. In certain cases, they show that the limit of any sequence of solutions is a holomorphic map to the symplectic quotient $X//K$. Using this, they obtain for certain aspherical Hamiltonian K -manifolds X a relationship between the equivariant cohomology of X and the quantum cohomology of $X//K$. A generalization of this relationship to arbitrary X is proposed in [38].

The purpose of this paper is to study the opposite limit, in which the area of the surface tends to zero, in the genus zero case. To give away the punchline, the main result is equality of the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants in this limit with the *invariant part* of the ordinary equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants studied by Givental [13], in the following sense. The space of holomorphic maps $\text{Hom}(\Sigma, X)$ to X has a natural K -action given by composing the stable map with the diffeomorphism of the target induced by a group element, and a formal symplectic structure, given by integrating the pull-back of the symplectic form on X over the component that maps isomorphically onto Σ . A formal moment map for the action of K is then given by integration:

$$\phi : \text{Hom}(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*, \quad u \mapsto \int_{\Sigma} u^* \Phi \text{Vol}_{\Sigma}.$$

The map ϕ naturally extends to parametrized stable maps $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X, (1, d))$. Restricting to $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$, we say that a *polystable zero-area vortex* of homology class

$d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a stable map $u : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times X$ of class $(1, d)$ with $\phi(u) = 0$. The coarse moduli space of polystable zero-area vortices is denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_0$. This moduli space also has a description as the quotient of a semistable locus by the complex group action, but the semistable locus is defined by a linearization of the action that is only semipositive: it is trivial on the “bubble directions”. Integrating over the moduli space of zero-area vortices gives rise to zero-area gauged Gromov-Witten invariants

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{d,0} : H_G(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \otimes H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

for $d \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$ where $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1) := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)$ is the moduli space of stable maps to $\mathbb{P}^1$ of homology class $[\mathbb{P}^1]$, which we view as a compactification of the configuration space of n points on $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Our main results describe the relationship between these moduli spaces and those of symplectic vortices with small area. First, in the symplectic setting:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that X is a compact Hamiltonian K -manifold, $\rho_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ is a sequence of positive real numbers, and (A_α, u_α) is a sequence of polystable ρ_α -vortices on $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$ with target X of fixed homology class. There exists a sequence $k_\alpha \in K(P)$ of gauge transformations such that after passing to a subsequence, $k_\alpha A_\alpha$ converges to the trivial connection and $k_\alpha u_\alpha$ converges to a polystable zero-area vortex u_0 . Conversely, any regular polystable zero-area u vortex is a limit of a sequence (A_α, u_α) of ρ_α -vortices with $\rho_\alpha \rightarrow 0$.*

The fact that the limit is a holomorphic map should not be surprising: given a sequence of vortices with vanishing area, the curvature of the connection goes to zero in L^2 norm. Therefore, if the sequence converges it must converge to a flat connection, which in genus zero must be trivial. From the symplectic point of view, the subtle part of the theorem is the statement that the limiting map has zero average moment map: this follows from a study of a sub-leading term in the vortex equations. A very similar theorem, in the case that X is aspherical and K is abelian, is proved in the thesis of Jan Wehrheim [37]. From the algebraic point of view we have simply an equality of moduli spaces and of the corresponding invariants:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that X is a smooth projective variety and $d \in H_2^G(X, \mathbb{Z})$, and every 0-semistable gauged map has finite automorphism group. There exists a $\rho_0 > 0$ such that for all $\rho < \rho_0$, there is an equivalence $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_\rho$ of Deligne-Mumford stacks equipped with perfect obstruction theories. Hence for such ρ we have $\langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,\rho} = \langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,0}$ for all $d \in H_2^G(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $\alpha \in H_G(X, \mathbb{Q})^n$ and $\beta \in H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1), \mathbb{Q})$.*

Using this result we obtain a version of the abelianization conjecture of Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine, and Kim [5] in the “small area chamber”. In the cases where one needs virtual fundamental classes, the proof assumes the equivalence of the definition of the virtual fundamental cycle via the virtual fundamental sheaf and Toen’s Riemann-Roch for stacks [36], and the definition as in Behrend-Fantechi [3], a result which appears so far only in a preprint of Joshua [21].

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a smooth projective G -variety, such that every 0-stable gauged map has finite automorphism group. Then (assuming axiomatic properties of virtual fundamental sheaves in 5.0.1, if X is not convex)*

$$(2) \quad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{G, d_G, 0} = (\#W)^{-1} \sum_{d_T \mapsto d_G} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{T, d_T, 0}^{twist}$$

where the right hand side is a sum over twisted Gromov-Witten invariants by the bundle $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G and $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ a Cartan subalgebra. All of these gauged Gromov-Witten invariants vanish unless d_G is in the image of $H_2(X)$ in $H_2^G(X)$.

Combining the results of this paper with results on the large area limit and the wall-crossing formula of [15] gives a “quantum non-abelian localization” formula relating the Gromov-Witten invariants of the symplectic quotient $X//G$ with the equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants of X , see [38].

A word on notation: we generally use the notation $\overline{M}$ for a coarse moduli space (space of isomorphism classes), $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ for a Deligne-Mumford stack, and $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ for an Artin stack, so the “ornateness” of the notation corresponds to “stackiness”. Readers not familiar with stacks can read the paper under the assumption that X is a convex projective G -variety, in which case stacks are not needed.

2. VORTICES WITH FINITE AREA

In this section we recall the moduli spaces of symplectic vortices associated to Hamiltonian K -manifolds, introduced by Mundet [29] and Salamon and collaborators [6], [7], and review some necessary background from [14] (the case with punctures is not necessary here) and [16].

2.1. Symplectic vortices. Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface, and $\pi : P \rightarrow \Sigma$ a smooth principal K -bundle. Let X denote a compact Hamiltonian K -manifold with symplectic form ω and moment map $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. The action of K on X gives rise to a homomorphism of Lie algebras denoted

$$\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X), \quad \xi \mapsto \xi_X, \quad \xi_X(x) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \exp(-t\xi)x.$$

Our sign convention for the moment map is

$$\iota(\xi_X)\omega = -d\langle \Phi, \xi \rangle, \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{k}.$$

By equivariant formality of Hamiltonian K -manifolds (see e.g. [18]) the second equivariant homology splits

$$(3) \quad H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H_2^K(\text{pt}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

We freely identify $H^K(X)$ and $H^G(X)$; generally speaking we use $H^G(X)$ when X is a G -manifold, or $H^K(X)$ when we are using only the K -action. Continuous sections u of $P(X) := (P \times X)/K$ correspond naturally to lifts $u_K : \Sigma \rightarrow X_K$ of

a classifying map $\Sigma \rightarrow BK$ for P to X_K . We also denote by π the projection of $P(X)$ onto Σ . The *equivariant class* $d(u) \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of $u : \Sigma \rightarrow P(X)$ is defined by $d(u) = u_{K,*}[\Sigma]$. Let $\mathcal{J}(X)$ denote the space of compatible almost complex structures. The action of K induces an action on $\mathcal{J}(X)$ by conjugation, and we denote by $\mathcal{J}(X)^K$ the invariant subspace. Let $\mathcal{A}(P)$ denote the space of smooth connections on P , and by $P(\mathfrak{k}) := (P \times \mathfrak{k})/K$ the adjoint bundle. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, we denote $F_A \in \Omega^2(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))$ the curvature of A . Any connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ induces a map of spaces of almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{J}(X)^K \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(P(X)), \quad J \mapsto J_A$$

using the splitting defined by the connection. Let $\Gamma(\Sigma, P(X))$ denote the space of smooth sections of $P(X)$. We denote by

$$\bar{\partial}_A : \Gamma(\Sigma, P(X)) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, (\cdot)^* T^{\text{vert}} P(X)), \quad \bar{\partial}_A u = \frac{1}{2}(du + J_A(u) \circ du \circ J_\Sigma)$$

the Cauchy-Riemann operator defined by J_A . A *gauged section* of P is a pair $(A, u) \in \mathcal{A}(P) \times \Gamma(\Sigma, P(X))$. The *energy* of a gauged section (A, u) is given by

$$E(A, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} (|d_A u|^2 + |F_A|^2 + |u^* P(\Phi)|^2) \text{Vol}_{\Sigma}.$$

The *equivariant area* $D(u)$ of u is pairing of the class $d(u)$ with the class $[\omega_K] \in H_K^2(X)$ of the equivariant symplectic form. Suppose Vol_{Σ} is the area form determined by a choice of metric on Σ . The energy and equivariant area are related by

$$(4) \quad E(A, u) = D(u) + \int_{\Sigma} \left(|\bar{\partial}_A u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |F_A + u^* P(\Phi) \text{Vol}_{\Sigma}|^2 \right) \text{Vol}_{\Sigma}.$$

The space of *gauged holomorphic maps* with underlying bundle P is

$$\mathcal{H}(P, X) = \{(A, u) \in \mathcal{A}(P) \times \Gamma(\Sigma, P(X)), \quad \bar{\partial}_A u = 0\}.$$

In particular, for any $(A, u) \in \mathcal{H}(P, X)$ the energy and area are equal. $\mathcal{H}(P, X)$ has a formal symplectic form induced from the sum of the formal symplectic forms on the factors, given as follows. Let

$$\Omega^1(P(\mathfrak{k}))^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (a_1, a_2) \mapsto \int_{\Sigma} (a_1 \wedge a_2)$$

denote the symplectic form on the affine space of connections $\mathcal{A}(P)$ determined by the metric on $\mathfrak{k}$. On the other hand, let $P(\omega)$ denote the fiber-wise two-form on $P(X)$ defined by ω . Choose a two-form $\text{Vol}_{\Sigma} \in \Omega^2(\Sigma)$ and define

$$\Omega^0(\Sigma, u^* T^{\text{vert}} P(X))^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (v_1, v_2) \mapsto \int_{\Sigma} (u^* P(\omega))(v_1, v_2) \text{Vol}_{\Sigma}.$$

Choose a constant $\rho > 0$ (the *vortex parameter* in the language of Gaio-Salamon [12]) and consider the formal two-form

$$(5) \quad ((a_1, v_1), (a_2, v_2)) \mapsto \int_{\Sigma} (a_1 \wedge a_2) + \rho \text{Vol}_{\Sigma} (u^* P(\omega))(v_1, v_2)$$

Let $\mathcal{K}(P)$ denote the group of gauge transformations. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}(P)$ of $\mathcal{K}(P)$ is the space of sections $\Omega^0(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))$ of the adjoint bundle. The action of $\mathcal{K}(P)$ on the space of pairs generates an infinitesimal action $(A, u) \mapsto (-d_A \xi, u^* \xi_X)$ where $\xi_X \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \text{Vect } P(X))$ is the vertical vector field induced by $\mathfrak{k}$. The action preserves the formal two-form (5) and has moment map given by the curvature plus pull-back of the moment map for X ,

$$\mathcal{A}(P) \times \Gamma(\Sigma, P(X)) \rightarrow \Omega^2(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})), \quad (A, u) \mapsto F_A + \rho \text{Vol}_\Sigma u^* P(\Phi).$$

By restriction one obtains a formal Hamiltonian structure on $\mathcal{H}(P, X)$. These formal considerations motivate the following definition.

Definition 2.1. A gauged holomorphic map (A, u) is an ρ -vortex if it satisfies the moment map condition $F_A + \rho \text{Vol}_\Sigma u^* P(\Phi) = 0$.

Let $M(P, X, d)_\rho$ be the quotient of the zero level set of the moment map by gauge transformations, the *moduli space of ρ -vortices* of class $d \in H_2^K(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} M(P, X, d)_\rho &:= \mathcal{H}(P, X, d) // \mathcal{K}(P) \\ &= \{F_A + \rho \text{Vol}_\Sigma u^* P(\Phi) = 0, d(u) = d\} / \mathcal{K}(P). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $M(P, X)_\rho$ the union over classes d , and $M(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ the union over types P . Note that the first Chern class of P is determined by the homology class d_K via the projection $X_K \rightarrow BK$. The formal tangent space to the $M(P, X)_\rho$ is the kernel of a Fredholm operator which is a combination of the covariant derivative of the underlying connection and the Cauchy-Riemann operator associated to the almost complex structure. We first give the spaces of connections and sections the structure of Banach manifolds by taking completions with respect to Sobolev norms $\|\cdot\|_{p,k}$. For $p > 2$, define

$$\begin{aligned} d_{A,u,\rho} : \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))_{1,p} \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^* TP(X))_{1,p} &\rightarrow \Omega^2(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))_{0,p} \\ d_{A,u,\rho}(a, \xi) &:= d_A a + \rho \text{Vol}_\Sigma u^* L_{\xi_X} P(\Phi). \end{aligned}$$

Here $L_{\xi_X} P(\Phi) \in \text{Map}(P(X), \mathfrak{k})$ denotes the derivative of $P(\Phi)$ with respect to the generating vector field $\xi_X \in \text{Vect}(P(X))$ for ξ , and $u^* L_{\xi_X} P(\Phi) \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))$ its pull-back. The formal adjoint of $d_{A,u,\rho}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} d_{A,u,\rho}^* : \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))_{1,p} \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^* TP(X))_{1,p} &\rightarrow \Omega^0(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))_{0,p} \\ d_{A,u,\rho}^*(a, \xi) &= d_A^* a + \rho u^* L_{J\xi_X} P(\Phi). \end{aligned}$$

If (A, u) is stable then the set

$$(6) \quad S_{A,u} = \{(A + a, \exp_u(\xi)), (a, \xi) \in \ker d_{A,u,\rho}^*\}$$

is a slice for the gauge group action near (A, u) . Define

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad \mathcal{F}_{A,u}^\rho : \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))_{1,p} \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^* T^{\text{vert}} P(X))_{1,p} \\ \rightarrow (\Omega^0 \oplus \Omega^2)(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))_{0,p} \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, u^* T^{\text{vert}} P(X))_{0,p} \end{aligned}$$

by

$$(8) \quad (a, \xi) \mapsto (F_{A+a} + \rho \text{Vol}_\Sigma \exp_\xi(u)^* \Phi, d_{A,\rho}^*(a, \xi), \Psi_u(\xi)^{-1} \bar{\partial}_{A+a} \exp_u(\xi))$$

where $\Psi_u(\xi)^{-1}$ is parallel transport from $\exp_u(\xi)$ to u using the Hermitian modification of the Levi-Civita connection [27]. Let $D_{A,u}$ denote the linearization of the Cauchy-Riemann operator for J_A ,

$$D_{A,u}(a, \xi) := (\nabla_A \xi)^{0,1} + a_X^{0,1} + \frac{1}{2} J_u (\nabla_\xi J)_u \partial u;$$

the last term vanishes if J is integrable, a_X denotes the image of a under the map $\Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\Sigma, u^* T^{\text{vert}} P(X))$ induced by the action, and $0, 1$ denotes projection on the $0, 1$ -component.

Definition 2.2. The *linearized operator* for $(A, u) \in \mathcal{H}(P, X)$ and vortex parameter ρ is the operator

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_{A,u}^\rho : \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^* T^{\text{vert}}(P(X))) \\ \rightarrow (\Omega^0 \oplus \Omega^2)(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k})) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, u^* T^{\text{vert}}(P(X))) \\ (a, \xi) \mapsto (d_{A,u,\rho}(a, \xi), d_{A,u,\rho}^*(a, \xi), D_{A,u}(a, \xi)). \end{aligned}$$

(A, u) is *regular* if the operator $\tilde{D}_{A,u}^\rho$ is surjective. The space of *infinitesimal deformations* of (A, u) is $\text{Def}(A, u) = \ker(\tilde{D}_{A,u}^\rho)$.

The operator $\tilde{D}_{A,u}^\rho$ is the linearization of the map $\mathcal{F}_{A,u}^\rho$ at (A, u) . It is elliptic, and so has finite dimensional kernel and cokernel. The following theorem, due to Mundet, Salamon et al. [29], [6], generalizes the standard results for pseudoholomorphic curves to the gauged setting:

Theorem 2.3. *For any constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, the set of elements $(A, u) \in M(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ with $\sup |d_A u| < c_1$, $E(A, u) < c_2$ is compact. The regular, stable locus $M^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ is a smooth orbifold with tangent space isomorphic to $\text{Def}(A, u)$. The dimension of the component of homology class $d \in H_2^K(X)$ is given by*

$$\dim(M^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X, d)_\rho) = \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_{A,u}^\rho) = (1 - g)(\dim(X) - 2 \dim(G)) + 2(c_1^K(TX), d).$$

Spaces with markings and evaluation maps are given as follows.

Definition 2.4. An n -marked symplectic vortex is a vortex (A, u) together with n -tuple $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ of distinct points on Σ . An *isomorphism* of n -marked symplectic vortices is an isomorphism of the underlying vortices, such that the markings are equal. A *framed vortex* is a collection $(A, u, \underline{z}, \underline{\phi})$, where $(A, u, \underline{z})$ is a marked ρ -vortex and $\underline{\phi} = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ are trivializations of the fibers of P at $z_1, \dots, z_n$, that is, each $\phi_j : P_{z_j} \rightarrow K$ is a K -equivariant isomorphism. An *isomorphism* of framed vortices is an isomorphism of the underlying marked vortices, intertwining the framings: if $\psi : P \rightarrow P$ denotes the bundle automorphism, then $\phi'_j = \phi_j \circ \psi, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $M_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ denote the moduli space of isomorphism classes n -marked ρ -vortices. The moduli space $M_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ is homeomorphic to the product

$$(10) \quad M_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho \cong M(\Sigma, X)_\rho \times M_n(\Sigma)$$

where $M_n(\Sigma)$ denotes the configuration space of n -tuple of distinct points on Σ . Let $M_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ denote the moduli space of isomorphism classes of framed n -marked ρ -vortices. There exists a canonical *framed evaluation map*

$$\text{ev}^{\text{fr}} : M_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow X^n, \quad (A, u, \underline{z}, \underline{\phi}) \mapsto (\phi_1(u(z_1)), \dots, \phi_n(u(z_n)))$$

defined by combining the framings with evaluation at the marked points. Define a map

$$\varphi : M_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow M_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho, \quad [A, u, \underline{z}, \underline{\phi}] \mapsto [A, u, \underline{z}]$$

by forgetting the framings. Since the action of the gauge group admits slices (6), over the stable, regular locus the map $M_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ the map φ is an orbifold principal K^n -bundle. Suppose first that K^n acts freely, so that $M_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ is an honest bundle. Let

$$\psi : M_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow EK^n$$

be a classifying map for φ . Combining ψ with the framed evaluation map ev^{fr} gives rise to a K^n -equivariant map

$$(11) \quad \text{ev}^{\text{fr}} \times \psi : M_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow X^n \times EK^n$$

Define the *evaluation map* by descending (11) to the quotients:

$$\text{ev} : M_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow (X^n \times EK^n)/K^n = X_K^n.$$

In particular, pull-back by ev induces a map in equivariant cohomology with integral coefficients

$$\text{ev}_\mathbb{Z}^* : H_K(X, \mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow H(M_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho, \mathbb{Z}).$$

More generally, the classifying map exists after passing to the classifying space of $M_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$, and we obtain a pull-back for cohomology in rational coefficients

$$\text{ev}^* : H_K(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \rightarrow H(M_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho, \mathbb{Q}).$$

2.2. Compactification. Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface. A Σ -rooted stable marked nodal curve is a stable map to Σ of homology class $[\Sigma]$. Let $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma) = \overline{M}_{g,n}(\Sigma, 1)$ where $g = \text{genus}(\Sigma)$ denote the moduli space of Σ -rooted stable marked curves. For each subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ there is a boundary divisor $\iota_I : D_I \rightarrow \overline{M}_n(\Sigma)$ where the markings for $i \in I$ have bubbled off onto an (unparametrized) sphere bubble, and a homeomorphism $\varphi_I : D_I \cong \overline{M}_{0,|I|+1} \times \overline{M}_{n-|I|+1}(\Sigma)$.

Definition 2.5. A *nodal gauged holomorphic map* from Σ to X consists of a datum $(P, A, C, u, \underline{z})$ where

- (a) P is a principal K -bundle on Σ ;
- (b) A is a connection on P ;
- (c) $u : C \rightarrow P(X)$ is a stable holomorphic map such that the composition $\pi \circ u : C \rightarrow \Sigma$ has homology class $[\Sigma]$;
- (d) $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in C^n$ are distinct, smooth points of C .

A *nodal ρ -vortex* is a nodal gauged map (A, u) such that the principal component (A, u_0) is a ρ -vortex and each sphere bubble C_i on which u_i is constant has at least three marked or singular points. A polystable ρ -vortex is *stable* if it has finite automorphism group, and *principally stable* if the principal component has finite automorphism group. There is no stability condition for the number of special points on the principal component. An *isomorphism* of nodal ρ -vortices $(A, u, \underline{z}), (A', u', \underline{z}')$ consists of an isomorphism of rooted nodal curves $f : C \rightarrow C'$ and a gauge transformation $k \in \mathcal{K}(P)$ such that $k_0(A_0, u_0) = (A'_0, u'_0)$, $k(w_i^0)(f^{-1})^*u_i = u'_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, and $f(z_i) = z'_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. In particular, the markings on the principal component must be equal after identification with Σ . The *combinatorial type* of a gauged nodal map $(C, A, u, \underline{z})$ is the rooted graph $\Gamma(C, A, u, \underline{z})$ whose vertices represent the components of $\hat{\Sigma}$, whose finite edges represent the nodes $w_i^\pm, i = 1, \dots, m$, semi-infinite edges represent the markings $z_1, \dots, z_n$, and whose root vertex represents the principal component C_0 . The *homology class* of a nodal ρ -vortex is the sum of the classes $d(u_0) \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of the principal component u_0 and the classes $d(u_i) \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of the sphere bubbles, embedded in $H_2^K(X)$ via (3). A *framed polystable ρ -vortex* consists of a polystable vortex together with framings at the attaching points of the bubbles $\phi_i : P_{\hat{z}_i} \rightarrow K, i = 1, \dots, n$. We denote by $M_{n,\Gamma}(\Sigma, X, d)_\rho$ resp. $M_{n,\Gamma}^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X, d)_\rho$ the moduli space of isomorphism classes of polystable resp. framed polystable ρ -vortices of combinatorial type Γ of homology class $d \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 2.6. For a stable ρ -vortex (C, A, u) and $\tilde{C}$ the normalization of C , let $\tilde{D}_{A,u}^\rho$ denote the *linearized operator*

$$(12) \quad \Omega^1(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))_{1,p} \oplus \Omega^0(C, u^*T^{\text{vert}}P(X))_{1,p} \\ \rightarrow (\Omega^0 \oplus \Omega^2)(\Sigma, P(\mathfrak{k}))_{0,p} \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\tilde{C}, u^*T^{\text{vert}}P(X))_{0,p} \\ (a, \xi) \mapsto (\tilde{D}_{A,u_0}(a, \xi_0), (D_{u_j}\xi_j)_{j=1}^k)$$

given by the operator $\tilde{D}_{A,u}^\rho$ on the principal component, the linearized Cauchy-Riemann operator $\tilde{D}_{v_j}$ on the bubbles. We say that (C, A, u) is *regular* if $\tilde{D}_{A,u}^\rho$ is surjective.

The subset $M_{\Gamma,n}(P, X, d)_\rho^{\text{reg}}$ of regular stable ρ -vortices of homology class $d \in H_2^K(X)$ is a smooth orbifold of dimension

$$(13) \quad \dim(M_{\Gamma,n}(P, X, d)_\rho^{\text{reg}}) = \\ 2((1-g)\dim(X) + (c_1^K(TX), d) - \dim(G) - \#\text{Edge}(\Gamma) + n),$$

see [16]. Let $\overline{M}_n(P, X, d)_\rho$ denote the union over combinatorial types,

$$\overline{M}_n(P, X, d)_\rho = \bigcup_{\Gamma} M_{\Gamma}(P, X, d)_\rho.$$

We denote by $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X, d)$ the union over types of bundles P ; since the homology class determines the first Chern class, this is a finite sum. There is a natural notion of

Gromov convergence of polystable vortices, which defines a topology on $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X, d)$, described in [14].

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a compact Hamiltonian K -manifold. For any $c > 0$, the union of components $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\rho$ with $\langle d, [\omega_K] \rangle < c$ is a compact, Hausdorff space. The stable, regular locus $\overline{M}^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X, d)_\rho$ has the structure of a partially smooth topological (non-canonically C^1) orbifold.*

The compactness is essentially a result of Mundet [29]: For the more general case of moduli spaces of vortices on surfaces with cylindrical ends this is proved in [14]. The orbifold structure is constructed in [16]. Evaluation maps are defined as follows. Let $\overline{M}_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ denote the moduli space of polystable framed ρ -vortices. Forgetting the framings gives an invariant map $\overline{M}_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ which is the quotient map for the action of K^n . Let $\overline{M}_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ resp. $\overline{M}_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ denote the subspaces of regular, stable framed resp. marked vortices, that is, those with finite automorphism groups. By the slice theorem for C^1 compact group actions, the action of K^n on $\overline{M}_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ forms a topological orbifold principal K^n -bundle. If the action of K^n is free then there exists a classifying map

$$\psi : \overline{M}_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow EK^n.$$

More generally, a classifying map exists after passing to the classifying space $B\overline{M}_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$. The space $\overline{M}_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ admits a *framed evaluation map*

$$\text{ev}^{\text{fr}} : \overline{M}_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow X^n, \quad (A, u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{z}) \mapsto \underline{\phi} \circ u(\underline{z}).$$

If every vortex is stable and has trivial automorphism group, combining the evaluation maps with the classifying map gives rise to an *evaluation map*

$$\text{ev} : \overline{M}_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow X_K^n, \quad [A, u, z] \mapsto [\psi(A, u, z), \text{ev}^{\text{fr}}(A, u, z)].$$

More generally, the evaluation map on the classifying space induces a rational pull-back map

$$\text{ev}^* : H_K(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \rightarrow H(\overline{M}_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Let $f : \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow \overline{M}_n(\Sigma)$ be the forgetful morphism obtained by forgetting the data (A, u) and collapsing any unstable component. In particular, we obtain a pull-back map in cohomology

$$f^* : H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H(\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho, \mathbb{Z}).$$

2.3. Semistable gauged maps. Let X be a smooth projective G -variety. In this section we realize the moduli space of stable vortices as an open substack of the stack of maps to the quotient stack X/G , under suitable finite automorphism hypotheses.

Definition 2.8. An *prestable gauged map* from Σ to X over a scheme S consists of a datum (C, P, u) where

- (a) $(C \rightarrow S, v : C \rightarrow \Sigma)$ is a family of rooted prestable curves;

- (b) $P \rightarrow \Sigma \times S$ is a principal G -bundle;
- (c) $u : C \rightarrow P(X) := (P \times X)/G$ is a family of stable maps with base homology class 1, that is, the composition of u with the projection $P(X) \rightarrow \Sigma$ has homology class one.

Mundet's stability condition generalizes that of Ramanathan [32], as follows. A *parabolic reduction* of P consists of a parabolic subgroup R and a section $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow P/R$. Let L denote the Levi of R , $p : R \rightarrow L$ the projection, $\iota : L \rightarrow G$ the inclusion, σ^*P denote the associated R bundle, $p_*\sigma^*P$ the associated L -bundle, and $\text{Gr}(P) = \iota_*p_*\sigma^*P$ the *associated graded G -bundle*. The terminology comes from the case $G = GL(n)$, in which case a parabolic reduction is a filtration by sub-bundles of the associated vector bundle and $\text{Gr}(P)$ would be the (frame bundle of the) usual associated graded.

With the additional datum of a one-parameter subgroup compatible with the reduction one may also define an associated graded for the section u . Let Z denote the center of L , $\mathfrak{z}$ its Lie algebra, and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{z}$. The induced family of automorphisms of R by $z^\lambda = \exp(\ln(z)\lambda)$ by conjugation induce a family of bundles $P_{\sigma,\lambda} := (\sigma^*P \times \mathbb{C}) \times_R R$ with central fiber $p_*\sigma^*P$. The stable section u extends canonically to an *associated graded section* denoted $\text{Gr}(u)$ of $(\text{Gr}(P))(X)$.

Suppose that λ is identified with a weight in $\mathfrak{z}^*$ via the inner product on $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. The *degree* of the pair (σ, λ) is defined in terms of the associated graded $\text{Gr}(P)$, $\text{Gr}(u)$ by

$$(14) \quad d_{\sigma,\lambda}(P, u) = \int_{\Sigma} c_1(p_*\sigma^*P \times_L \mathbb{C}_\lambda) + \rho \langle P(\Phi) \circ \text{Gr}(u)_0, \lambda \rangle \text{Vol}_\Sigma$$

where $\text{Gr}(u)_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow P(X)$ denotes the principal component of $\text{Gr}(u) : C \rightarrow P(X)$. The datum (P, A, u) is *stable* iff $d_{\sigma,\lambda}(P, u) < 0$ for all pairs (σ, λ) . We say that the pair (σ, λ) is *destabilizing* iff $d_{\sigma,\lambda}(P, u) > 0$. (P, u) is *unstable* if there exists a de-stabilizing pair (σ, λ) , *semistable* if it is not unstable, *stable* if there are no pairs with $d_{\sigma,\lambda}(P, u) \geq 0$, and *polystable* if it is semistable but not stable and (P, u) is isomorphic to its associated graded for any pair (σ, λ) satisfying the above with equality.

Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ denote the category of prestable gauged maps.

Theorem 2.9. $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ has the structure of a (non-finite-type, non-separated) Artin stack. The subcategory $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ of ρ -semistable gauged maps has the structure of an open substack. If all automorphism groups are finite, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack equipped with a canonical perfect obstruction theory. For each constant $c > 0$, the union of components $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\rho$ with homology class $d \in H_2^G(X)$ satisfying $\langle d, [\omega_G] \rangle < c$ is proper.

This is proved in [15], see also [11, Section 4]. A relatively perfect obstruction theory relative to the stack of pre-stable marked rooted curves is defined

by Rp_*e^*TX , where $p : \overline{U}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\rho \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\rho$ is the universal curve and $e : \overline{U}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\rho \rightarrow X$ the universal morphism.

Let $\text{ev} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow (X/G)^n$ denote the evaluation map at the marked points, $(S, P, C, u, \underline{z}) \mapsto (S, \underline{z}^*P, u \circ \underline{z})$. Let ev^* denote the induced pull-back in rational cohomology of coarse moduli spaces,

$$\text{ev}^* : H_G(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \rightarrow H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho, \mathbb{Q}).$$

As explained in [15] there exists a forgetful morphism $f : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma)$ which maps $(C, P, u, \underline{z})$ to the stable rooted curve obtained from $(C, \pi \circ u, \underline{z})$ by collapsing unstable components. We denote by

$$f^* : H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho, \mathbb{Q})$$

the induced pull-back in rational cohomology of the coarse moduli spaces.

2.4. Gauged Gromov-Witten invariants. We assume that the reader is familiar with twisted Gromov-Witten invariants as in Coates-Givental [9]. Given a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$, we define $\text{Ind}(E) = Rp_*e^*E$ the *index bundle* for E , which is an object in the derived category of coherent sheaves over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho$. It has an Euler class

$$\text{Eul}_{U(1)}(\text{Ind}(E)) \in H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho, \mathbb{Q})[\zeta, \zeta^{-1}]$$

in the cohomology of the coarse moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho$; here ζ the equivariant parameter for the action of $U(1)$ by scalar multiplication, acting trivially on the base. Define the *twisted gauged Gromov-Witten invariants*

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\rho, d, E} := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_\rho]} \text{ev}^* \alpha \wedge f^* \beta \wedge \text{Eul}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\text{Ind}(E)) \in \mathbb{Q}[\zeta, \zeta^{-1}]$$

where ζ is the equivariant parameter. The splitting axiom for these invariants is discussed in [15]: they form a *cohomological trace* on the cohomological field theory defined by the equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants introduced by Givental [13], see [15].

In the symplectic setting the invariants can be defined under suitable regularity assumptions. Suppose that X is a compact Hamiltonian K -manifold and that every element of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ is regular and stable. Pulling back and integrating defines the twisted gauged Gromov-Witten invariants as above. Unfortunately, even for convex target the moduli spaces of vortices need not be regular. A framework of virtual fundamental classes in the symplectic setting which would define the invariants for arbitrary Hamiltonian K -manifolds has not yet appeared.

3. VORTICES WITH ZERO AREA AND GENUS

In this section we construct a certain quotient $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_0$ for the induced action of a group on the moduli space of parametrized genus zero maps. There are two approaches: in the symplectic approach $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_0$ is constructed via a moment map for a degenerate symplectic form on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, (1, d))$. In the second approach, a

stack with coarse moduli space $\overline{M}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_0$ is constructed via a stability condition; however, this stability condition is not associated to any (ample) polarization.

3.1. Zero-area vortices. Let Σ be a compact genus zero Riemann surface with two-form Vol_Σ , and X a Hamiltonian K -manifold as above. Let $\text{Map}(\Sigma, X)$ denote the space of smooth maps from Σ to X . Define

$$\phi : \text{Map}(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*, \quad \phi(u) = \int_{\Sigma} u^* \Phi \text{Vol}_\Sigma.$$

Definition 3.1. A *zero-area-vortex* is a map $u \in \text{Map}(\Sigma, X)$ satisfying $\phi(u) = 0, \bar{\partial}u = 0$. An *isomorphism* of zero-area vortices u_0, u_1 is an element $k \in K$ with $ku_0 = u_1$. A zero-area vortex is *stable* if it has finite automorphism group.

Let $M(\Sigma, X, d)_0$ be the moduli space of zero-area vortices of homology class $d \in H_2(X)$:

$$M(\Sigma, X, d)_0 = \left\{ u \mid \int_{\Sigma} u^* \Phi \text{Vol}_\Sigma = 0, d(u) = d \right\} / K.$$

Equivalently, $M(\Sigma, X, d)$ is the moduli space of pairs (A, u) with $F_A = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}_A u = 0$; this justifies the use of the term vortex. For $d \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$ we define $M(\Sigma, X, d)_0$ to be empty unless d lies in the summand $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

The formal tangent space to $M(\Sigma, X)_0$ at any point u may be identified with the kernel of a Fredholm operator, as follows. Define

$$E_u : \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^*TX) \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}, \quad \xi \mapsto \int_{\Sigma} u^* L_{\xi_X} \Phi \text{Vol}_\Sigma$$

and (abusing notation)

$$E_u^* : \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^*TX) \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}, \quad \xi \mapsto \int_{\Sigma} u^* L_{J\xi_X} \Phi \text{Vol}_\Sigma.$$

Thus $E_u(\xi)$ resp. $E_u^*(\xi)$ is the derivative of the average of the moment map with respect to ξ_X resp. $J\xi_X$. Then $M(\Sigma, X)_0$ is locally the zero set of map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_u^0 : \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^*T^{\text{vert}}P(X)) &\rightarrow (\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{k}) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, u^*T^{\text{vert}}P(X)) \\ \xi &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \int_{\Sigma} \exp_u(\xi)^* P(\Phi) \\ E_u^* \xi \\ \Psi_u(\xi)^{-1} \bar{\partial} \exp_u(\xi) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where Ψ_ξ^{-1} is parallel transport from $\exp_u(\xi)$ to u , using the Hermitian connection.

Definition 3.2. The *linearized operator* for a zero-area vortex u is the linearization of $\mathcal{F}_u^0$,

$$(15) \quad \tilde{D}_u^0 : \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^*TX) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{k}) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, u^*TX), \quad \xi \mapsto (E_u \xi, E_u^* \xi, D_u \xi).$$

where D_u is the standard linearized Cauchy-Riemann operator. We say that a zero-area-vortex u is *regular* if the operator $\tilde{D}_u^0$ is surjective. The space of *infinitesimal deformations* of u is $\text{Def}(u) = \ker(\tilde{D}_u^0)$.

Clearly, $\tilde{D}_u^0$ is Fredholm since D_u is, and the space $\text{Def}(u)$ is finite dimensional. Let $M^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0 \subset M(\Sigma, X)_0$ denote the locus of regular zero-area vortices; it has the structure of a smooth, finite-dimensional orbifold; the proof is similar to that for pseudoholomorphic curves and will be omitted. Marked and framed zero-area vortices are defined as before. Let $M_n(\Sigma, X)_0$ denote the moduli space of n -marked zero-area vortices, and $M_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_0$ the moduli space of n -framed zero-area vortices. Let $M_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0$ denote the locus of framed regular, stable vortices.

Lemma 3.3. *Any zero-area vortex u with finite stabilizer which is regular as a pseudoholomorphic map is also regular as a zero-area vortex.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the familiar relationship between the infinitesimal stabilizer and moment map for the action of K on the space of holomorphic maps. More explicitly, let $\eta, \zeta \in \mathfrak{k}$. Then

$$(16) \quad \langle E_u(\eta_X), \zeta \rangle = \int_{\Sigma} u^* \langle \Phi, [\eta, \zeta] \rangle = 0, \quad \langle E_u(J\eta_X), \zeta \rangle = \int_{\Sigma} u^* \omega(\eta_X, J\zeta_X) \\ \langle E_u^*(\eta_X), \zeta \rangle = - \int_{\Sigma} u^* \omega(\eta_X, J\zeta_X), \quad \langle E_u^*(J\eta_X), \zeta \rangle = - \int_{\Sigma} u^* \langle \Phi, [\eta, \zeta] \rangle = 0.$$

It follows from non-degeneracy of the metric on X that $E_u \oplus E_u^*$ restricted to $\ker(D_u)$ is surjective. The lemma follows. $\square$

3.2. Compactification. The moduli space $M(\Sigma, X, d)_0$ admits a compactification by allowing bubbling in the fibers, as in the case of finite vortex parameter discussed in Theorem 2.7.

Definition 3.4. A prestable holomorphic map u from a Σ -rooted prestable curve C to X is a *prestale zero-area-vortex* if the restriction u to the principal component Σ is a prestable zero-area-vortex on the principal component Σ . A prestable zero-area-vortex is *polystable* if each bubble has at least three special (marked or prestable) points, and *stable* if it has finite stabilizer. An *isomorphism* of prestable zero-area-vortices $u : C \rightarrow X, u' : C' \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism of rooted curves $\phi : C \rightarrow C'$ together with an element $k \in K$ such that $k\phi^*u' = u$.

Let (C, u) be a polystable zero-area vortex, and $\tilde{C}$ the normalization of C .

Definition 3.5. The *linearized operator* for u is

$$\tilde{D}_u : \Omega^0(C, u^*TX) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{k}) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\tilde{C}, u^*TX), \quad \xi \mapsto (E_u\xi, E_u^*\xi, D_u\xi)$$

where D_u is the usual linearized Cauchy-Riemann operator on $\Omega^0(C, u^*TX)$. We say that u is *regular* if $\tilde{D}_u$ is surjective. The space of *infinitesimal deformations of constant type* of u is

$$\text{Def}_{\Gamma}(u) = \ker(\tilde{D}_u) / \text{aut}(C).$$

Here $\text{aut}(C)$ denotes the infinitesimal automorphisms of the rooted curve C , that is, automorphisms that are the identity on the principal component. The space of

infinitesimal deformations of u is the space of infinitesimal deformations of fixed type, plus the space of gluing parameters:

$$(17) \quad \text{Def}(u) = \text{Def}_\Gamma(u) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m T_{w_i^+} C \otimes T_{w_i^-} C.$$

Let $M_{n,\Gamma}(\Sigma, X, d)_0$ denote the moduli space of stable zero-area vortices of combinatorial type Γ , and $M_{n,\Gamma}^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X, d)_0$ the regular, stable locus. Let $\overline{M}(\Sigma, X, d)_0$ denote the union over combinatorial types Γ ,

$$\overline{M}(\Sigma, X, d)_0 = \bigcup_{\Gamma} M_{\Gamma}(\Sigma, X, d)_0.$$

Theorem 3.6. *For any $c > 0$, the union over $d \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\langle [\omega_K], d \rangle < c$ of $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_0$ is a compact, Hausdorff space. The regular, stable locus $\overline{M}_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0$ admits the structure of a stratified-smooth topological orbifold.*

Sketch of proof. Let $u_\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ be a sequence of zero-area polystable vortices of fixed homology class d . After passing to a subsequence, u_ν converges to a stable map $u : C \rightarrow X$ by [27, Theorem 5.6.6]. The principal component u_0 is a zero-area vortex, since u_ν converges in all derivatives on compact subsets of the complement of the bubbling points. The proof that the regular locus admits an orbifold structure is similar to the proof for stable maps in [16]. Namely, for any regular stable zero-area vortex u the gluing construction modified to include the restriction on the moment map and the slice condition gives rise to maps

$$(18) \quad G_u : \text{Def}(u)_\epsilon / \text{Aut}(u) \rightarrow \overline{M}_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0$$

where $\text{Def}(u)_\epsilon \subset \text{Def}(u)$ is a ball of some radius ρ around 0. The rigidification construction of [16] shows that these maps are homeomorphisms onto their images, hence define orbifold charts for $\overline{M}_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0$. Stratified-smooth compatibility and C^1 -compatibility using the exponential gluing profile follow from differentiability of the evaluation map in these local coordinates. $\square$

The moduli space of zero-area vortices may be realized as a formal symplectic quotient of the moduli space of stable maps, as we now explain. Let $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, (1, d))$ denote the moduli space of parametrized genus 0 stable holomorphic maps $u = (u_1, u_2) : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times X$ of homology class $1, d$. Define

$$\phi : \overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, (1, d)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*, \quad u \mapsto \int_C u_2^* \Phi u_1^* \text{Vol}_{\mathbb{P}^1}.$$

ϕ can be considered a moment map for the action of K on $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, (1, d))$ for a closed two-form given by integrating the pull-back of $\omega \in \Omega^2(X)$ over the principal component as in (5). It is immediate from the definition of zero-area vortices that there is an isomorphism

$$(19) \quad \overline{M}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_0 \cong \phi^{-1}(0)/K =: \overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, (1, d)) // K.$$

3.3. Zero-semistability. We give an algebraic interpretation of the moduli space of zero-area vortices. Let X be a smooth projective G -variety. The G -action on X induces an action on the stack $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, (1, d))$ by translation; see [33] for the foundations of group actions on stacks.

Definition 3.7. Given an element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{k}$ and a stable map $u : C \rightarrow \Sigma \times X$, the *associated graded* map $\text{Gr}_\lambda(u)$ is the Gromov limit of maps $\exp(ti\lambda)^*u$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$.

Obviously $\text{Gr}_\lambda(u)$ is fixed by the action of the one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{C}_\lambda^* = \{\exp(z\lambda)\}$. In particular, let $\text{Gr}_\lambda(u)_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ denote its principal component; it automatically takes values in a fixed point component of λ , on which the value of $\langle \Phi, \lambda \rangle$ is constant. Furthermore, the bubbles of $\text{Gr}_\lambda(u)$ are fixed up to reparametrization; this means that they map to orbits of $\mathbb{C}_\lambda^*$.

Definition 3.8. The *degree* of λ with respect to u is $d_\lambda(u) := \langle \text{Gr}_\lambda(u)_0^* \Phi, \lambda \rangle$. A stable map $u : C \rightarrow \Sigma \times X$ is *0-semistable* if $d_\lambda(u) \leq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}$, *0-unstable* if it is not 0-semistable, *0-stable* if it is 0-semistable with strict inequality for each λ .

We trust that various notions of stability (a stable map may or may not be 0-(semi)stable) will not confuse the reader too much. Later we will need a full *type stratification* for the action of G on $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d)$, which says that $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d)$ enjoys various good properties similar to those of geometric invariant theory quotients as in the works of Kempf-Ness [23], Kirwan [24], and Ness [30]. Note that the associated graded $\text{Gr}_\lambda(u)$ is fixed by the one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{C}_\lambda^*$ generated by λ , and so we may examine its stability under the action of $G_\lambda/\mathbb{C}_\lambda^*$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $u : C \rightarrow \Sigma \times X$ be a stable map. Then*

- (a) *If Gu contains a 0-area vortex, then such a vortex is unique up to the action of K .*
- (b) *u is 0-semistable iff $\overline{Gu}$ contains a 0-area vortex.*
- (c) *Any 0-unstable u has a Harder-Narasimhan vector λ with the property that $\text{Gr}_\lambda(u)$ is semistable for $G_\lambda/\mathbb{C}_\lambda^*$ and $(\phi(\text{Gr}_\lambda(u)), \lambda) = (\lambda, \lambda)$.*
- (d) *For any $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$, $(\phi(\text{Gr}_\xi(u)), \xi)/\|\xi\| \leq (\phi(\text{Gr}_\lambda(u)), \lambda)/\|\lambda\|$ with equality iff $\mathbb{R}_{>0}\xi = \mathbb{R}_{>0}\lambda$.*

Proof. The proof follows the usual construction in geometric invariant theory works of Kempf-Ness [23] and Ness [30], which unfortunately do not quite apply immediately since ϕ is not the moment map associated to a symplectic form. The analog of the Kempf-Ness functional $\Psi : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by integrating the one-form $\alpha_\xi(\eta) := (\phi(\exp(i\xi)x), \eta)$. Then Ψ is strictly convex, by non-degeneracy of ω , and has bounded first and second derivatives, by compactness of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, (1, d))$. Convexity implies that if a critical point exists then it is a zero of ϕ and is unique, which proves (a). Furthermore, $\overline{Gu}$ contains a 0-area vortex iff $\phi = \text{grad}(\Psi)$ either has a zero or goes to zero in some direction, in which case convexity implies that the rate of increase of Ψ in any direction is non-negative. Otherwise, the trajectory

ξ_t of $-\text{grad}(\Psi)$ has $\phi(u_t) \rightarrow \lambda$ for some non-zero λ , and λ is the unique direction of maximal descent,

$$\inf_{\|\xi\|=1} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\phi(\exp(-it\xi)u), \xi) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\phi(\exp(-it\lambda)u), \lambda) / \|\lambda\|$$

which proves (b). Standard techniques (comparison of the gradient flow of Ψ and the formal gradient flow of (ϕ, ϕ) , which is well-defined on the singular space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, (1, d))$) show that $\text{Gr}_\lambda(u)$ is semistable for $G_\lambda/\mathbb{C}_\lambda^*$, see [39] for the details. $\square$

The package of results is not quite complete, the theory of the *Jordan-Hölder* vector is missing. That is, we do not see whether, if $\overline{Gu}$ contains a vortex, whether the orbit of such a vortex is unique. Fortunately we do not need such a result.

The following is a more explicit description of the type stratification of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$. Let $X = \bigcup_\lambda X_\lambda$ denote the type (Kirwan-Ness) stratification of X . For each λ , let Z_λ denote the corresponding fixed point set of the one-parameter subgroup generated by λ , G_λ the centralizer of λ , P_λ the parabolic corresponding to λ , Z_λ^{ss} the semistable locus for the action of $G_\lambda/U(1)_\lambda$ on Z_λ , Y_λ the subset of X flowing to Z_λ under $\exp(z\lambda)$, and Y_λ^{ss} the inverse image of Z_λ^{ss} . Then (see [24], [30])

$$X_\lambda = G \times_{P_\lambda} Y_\lambda^{\text{ss}}.$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}^\lambda(\Sigma \times X)$ denote the substack of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)$ whose Harder-Narasimhan vectors are type conjugate to λ . Let $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\lambda$ denote the substack of maps of type λ that are fixed by $\mathbb{C}_\lambda^*$ whose principal component takes values in Z_λ ; $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}^\lambda$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}^{\lambda, \text{ss}}$) denote the substack of maps u that flow under $\exp(z\lambda)$ to $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\lambda$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^{\lambda, \text{ss}}$); P_λ the parabolic subgroup defined by λ , that is, such that $\text{Ad}(\exp(z\lambda))p$ has a limit as $z \rightarrow 0$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda = G \times_{G_\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{Y}}^{\lambda, \text{ss}}$. Furthermore, the action of $\mathbb{C}_\lambda^*$ on $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}^\lambda$ has fixed point set $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\lambda$.

Corollary 3.10. *Each $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda$ is a locally closed substack of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)$. The closure of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda$ is contained in the union of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\nu$ with $\|\nu\| \leq \|\lambda\|$.*

Proof. The first follows since $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}^\lambda$ is locally closed. The second follows maximality of the Harder-Narasimhan vector. $\square$

By the corollary, there exists a canonical filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma \times X)$ by open substacks

$$(20) \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma \times X)_c = \bigcup_{\|\lambda\| \leq c} \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda.$$

The spectral sequences associated to this filtration will be studied in the last section.

3.4. Quotient construction. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)/G$ denote the quotient stack of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)$ by G , that is: an object of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)/G$ over a scheme S consists of a

- (a) a principal bundle $P \rightarrow S$
- (b) a family of rooted prestable curves $C \rightarrow S, v : C \rightarrow \Sigma$
- (c) a family of stable maps $u : C \rightarrow P(X)$.

A morphism consists of a morphism of principal bundles and curves, intertwining with the stable maps and markings. Artin charts for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)/G$ are induced by charts for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)$, making $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)/G$ into an Artin stack c.f. [22, Appendix]. There is a canonical morphism

$$(21) \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)/G \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$$

induced by pulling back P from S to $S \times C$, so that P is trivial along the fibers. An object of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)/G$ is *0-semistable* if every fiber is. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_0$ the subcategory of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)/G$ whose objects are 0-semistable. Since 0-semistability is an open condition $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_0$ is an Artin stack, and Deligne-Mumford if all automorphism groups are finite.

Proposition 3.11. *Suppose that every 0-area vortex has finite automorphism group. Then the coarse moduli space of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_0$ is homeomorphic to the moduli space of 0-area vortices $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_0$.*

Proof. Since by part (a) of 3.9, any 0-stable map u is complex gauge equivalent to a 0-area vortex; the resulting map from the coarse moduli space (the quotient of the semistable locus by the action) has a continuous inverse. $\square$

Theorem 3.12. *Suppose that every 0-stable vortex has finite automorphism group. Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_0$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack with a canonical perfect obstruction theory. For any constant $c > 0$, the union of components $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X, d)_0$ with homology class $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\langle d, [\omega] \rangle < c$ is proper.*

Proof. Properness follows from the compactness and Hausdorff-ness of the coarse moduli space in Theorem 4.7. A relative perfect obstruction theory for the representable morphism from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times X, (1, d))$ to the stack of pre-stable rooted curves $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma, 1)$ is given by Rp_*e^*TX as in Behrend [2], where f is the universal curve and ev the universal morphism. Taking quotients gives an obstruction theory for $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma \times X, (1, d))/G \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma, 1)/G = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,n}(\Sigma, 1) \times BG$ of amplitude $[-1, 1]$, which restricts to a perfect relative obstruction theory over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, X, d)_0$ by the stability condition. $\square$

It would be better to have a proof of properness that does not use the symplectic description of the coarse moduli space.

3.5. Zero-area gauged Gromov-Witten invariants. Suppose that X is a smooth projective G -variety. Given $u : C \rightarrow \Sigma \times X$ we denote by $u_1 : C \rightarrow \Sigma$ the component with values in Σ .

Lemma 3.13. *There exists a forgetful morphism $f : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma)$ which maps $(C, u, \underline{z})$ to the stable rooted curve obtained from $(C, u_1, \underline{z})$ by collapsing unstable components.*

Proof. The existence of a morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma)$ is standard, see Behrend-Manin [4]. The restriction to the 0-semistable locus is invariant and so factors through the quotient $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_0$. $\square$

Let $\text{ev} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_0 \rightarrow (X/G)^n$ denote the evaluation map at the marked points, $(S, P, C, u, \underline{z}) \mapsto (S, P, u \circ \underline{z})$. Let ev^* denote the induced pull-back in rational cohomology of coarse moduli spaces

$$\text{ev}^* : H_G(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \rightarrow H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_0, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The symplectic story is described later in (23). Define

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{d,0} : H_G(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \otimes H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

by

$$(22) \quad \langle \alpha; \beta \rangle_{d,0} = \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_0]} \text{ev}^* \alpha \wedge f^* \beta.$$

On the basis of the quotient description (19), these invariants should be considered the *invariant part of the equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants*. In principle, they may be computed from the usual equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants using various localization theorems [20], [40].

From the symplectic point of view, the invariants are defined if every vortex is regular as follows. Suppose that every stable zero-area vortex has trivial automorphism group. In this case, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0$ is an honest principal K -bundle. Let

$$\psi : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0 \rightarrow EK^n$$

be a classifying map. Combining the classifying map with the evaluation map

$$\text{ev}^{\text{fr}} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_0 \rightarrow X^n$$

gives an equivariant *evaluation map*

$$(23) \quad \text{ev} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0 \rightarrow X_K^n.$$

In particular, we have a pull-back map in cohomology

$$\text{ev}_{\mathbb{Z}}^* : H_K(X, \mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0, \mathbb{Z}).$$

More generally, if some stable vortices have finite stabilizers then there exists a classifying map

$$B\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n^{\text{fr,reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0 \rightarrow EK$$

and the map ev^* is defined for cohomology with rational coefficients

$$\text{ev}^* : H_K(X, \mathbb{Q})^n \rightarrow H(B\overline{M}_n^{\text{fr}, \text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0, \mathbb{Q}) = H(\overline{M}_n^{\text{reg}}(\Sigma, X)_0, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The splitting axiom for these invariants is the same as that for finite vortex parameter discussed in [15], that is, they form a cohomological trace on the cohomological field theory defined by the equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants introduced by Givental in [13]. We will not prove the splitting axiom here; it follows from the equality with the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants for small area proved later.

4. THE ZERO-AREA LIMIT

It often happens that stability conditions simplify in some limit. The easiest example is for the action of G on a product of varieties $X \times Y$ with $X//G$ free: in the limit of a large polarization on X the stability condition reduces to that on X and $(X \times Y)//G$ is a fiber bundle over $X//G$ with fiber Y . Similar results in the symplectic setting for fiber bundles are proved in [19]. In this section we give both an algebraic and symplectic version of an analysis the limit of the stability condition resp. the vortex equation in the zero-area limit.

4.1. Equality of stability conditions.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a smooth projective G -variety. There exists a $\rho_0 > 0$ such that for $\rho < \rho_0$, any gauged map (P, u) of genus zero is semistable iff P is trivial and u is 0-semistable.*

Proof. Consider the first term in the stability condition is an integral-valued linear function on the lattice of weights λ . It follows that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that any weight λ of the center Z of the Levi component of R has

$$\int_{\Sigma} c_1(p_* \sigma^* P \times_L \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}) \geq c \|\lambda\|$$

if the left-hand side is non-zero. Let ρ be such that $\sup_{x \in X} \rho \|\Phi(x)\| < c$. Then any pair (σ, λ) violates semistability iff

$$d_{\sigma, \lambda}(P, u) = \int_{\Sigma} c_1(p_* \sigma^* P \times_L \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}) + \rho \langle P(\Phi) \circ \text{Gr}(u)_0, \lambda \rangle \text{Vol}_{\Sigma} > 0$$

iff $\int_{\Sigma} c_1(p_* \sigma^* P \times_L \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}) \geq 0$ and if equality holds then

$$(24) \quad \langle P(\Phi) \circ \text{Gr}(u)_0, \lambda \rangle \text{Vol}_{\Sigma} > 0.$$

It follows that (P, u) is ρ -semistable iff P is semistable, hence trivial (since Σ has genus zero), and the section u satisfies the 0-semistability condition of Definition 3.8. $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *There exists a $\rho_0 > 0$ such that for $\rho < \rho_0$, the canonical morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)/G \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)$ of (21) induces an isomorphism from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, X)_0$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, X)_{\rho}$ of Deligne-Mumford stacks equipped with perfect obstruction theories.*

Proof. The previous Theorem shows that the canonical morphism restricts to an isomorphism morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, X)_0$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ for ρ as in the Theorem. The relative perfect obstruction theory Rp_*e^*TX on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, X)_0$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.12, lives over the substack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, pt/G)$, consisting of trivial bundles on Σ , that is, BG , and so pushes forward to that on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho$, by its definition. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose every element of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma, X)_0$ has finite automorphism group, and Σ genus zero. There exists a ρ_0 such that for $\rho < \rho_0$, $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\rho, d} = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{0, d}$ for all classes $\alpha \in H_G(X)^n, \beta \in H(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma))$ and homology classes $d \in H_2^G(X, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Example 4.4 (Trivial Case). Suppose that $d = 0$. Then every ρ -vortex has zero energy, hence A is flat and u is covariant constant, so $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n, \rho}(X, d) \cong (X//G) \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\Sigma)$. Hence the gauged Gromov-Witten invariants are independent of ρ .

4.2. Small-area limit of vortices. One can see the same phenomenon from the symplectic point of view as follows. We will need the following well-known lemma, cf. [10, Lemma 2.3.10].

Lemma 4.5. *Let $p \geq 1$. There exist constants $\delta > 0, c > 0$ such that if A is a connection on the trivial bundle over Σ in Coulomb gauge with respect to the trivial connection, that is, $d^*A = 0$, then*

$$\|A\|_{1,p} < \delta \implies \|A\|_{1,p} \leq c\|F_A\|_{0,p}.$$

Proof. Using the elliptic estimate for $d + d^*$ and $H^1(\Sigma) = 0$ we obtain $\|A\|_{1,p} \leq c_1\|dA\|_{0,p}$. The Sobolev multiplication estimate for $A \wedge A$ gives $\|dA\|_{0,p} \leq c_2(\|F_A\|_{0,p} + \|A\|_{1,p}^2)$, and thus for some $\delta, c > 0$ we have $\|A\|_{1,p} \leq c\|F_A\|_{0,p}$ if $\|A\|_{1,p} < \delta$. $\square$

Note that the statement in the lemma is false in higher genus, see for example Råde [31]. The following theorem shows that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, X, d)_0$ provides a compactification for the union of the moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, X, d)_\rho, \rho \geq \rho_0$.

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose that (A_ν, u_ν) is a sequence of ρ_ν vortices of constant homology class $d \in H_2^K(X)$, with $\rho_\nu \rightarrow 0$. If $c_\nu = \sup |d_{A_\nu} u_\nu|$ is bounded, then after passing to a subsequence, there exists a sequence of gauge transformations $k_\nu \in \mathcal{K}(P)$ and an zero-area-vortex u_0 such that $k_\nu A_\nu$ converges to a trivial connection A_0 and (using the trivialization induced by A_0) $k_\nu u_\nu \rightarrow u_0$ uniformly in all derivatives as $\nu \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Since X is compact, the curvature of A_ν goes to zero as $\nu \rightarrow 0$ in $0, p$ norm,

$$\|F_{A_\nu}\|_{0,p} \leq \rho_\nu \sup_{x \in X} |\Phi(x)|^p \rightarrow 0$$

using the vortex equation. By Uhlenbeck compactness, after gauge transformation and passing to a subsequence A_ν C^0 -converges to a flat connection A_0 . Since Σ has genus zero, A_0 is trivial. We trivialize P using A_0 . Then u_ν defines a map to X and A_ν resp. F_{A_ν} defines a one-form resp. two-form with values in the Lie algebra,

for which we use the same notation. After gauge transformation, we may assume $d^*A_\nu = 0$. By Lemma 4.5 $\|A_\nu\|_{1,p} < c\rho_\nu$. Integrating the vortex equation (1) gives

$$\int_{\Sigma} u_\nu^* \Phi \operatorname{Vol}_{\Sigma} = \rho_\nu \int_{\Sigma} (A_\nu \wedge A_\nu) \operatorname{Vol}_{\Sigma} < c\rho_\nu \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $d_{A_\nu} u_\nu$ is bounded, u_ν converges to a section u_0 with $\int_{\Sigma} u_0^* \Phi = 0$. One obtains uniform convergence for A_ν, u_ν in all derivatives and holomorphicity of u_0 by elliptic bootstrapping as in [7]. $\square$

More generally,

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose that X is a compact Hamiltonian K -manifold. If (A_ν, u_ν) is a sequence of polystable vortices for the area form $\rho \operatorname{Vol}_{\Sigma}$ of fixed homology class on a genus zero curve Σ , then there exists a sequence $k_\nu \in \mathcal{K}(P)$ of gauge transformations such that after passing to a subsequence, $k_\nu A_\nu$ converges to the trivial connection in C^0 norm and $k_\nu u_\nu$ converges to a polystable zero-area vortex in the Gromov topology on stable maps to X .*

Proof. As in the previous theorem, after gauge transformation and passing to a subsequence we may assume that A_ν converges in C^0 to a trivial connection A_0 . On any set on which the first derivative is bounded, u_ν converges to a holomorphic map to X and A_ν converges to A_0 uniformly in all derivatives. The discussion of bubbling is similar to that in [14] and will be omitted. The result is that u_ν Gromov converges to a stable section u_0 , uniformly on all derivatives on compact subsets of the bubbling set. Integrating the vortex equation using the given trivialization gives $\int_{\Sigma} u_0^* \Phi = 0$ as before, where u_0 is the restriction of u_0 to the principal component. $\square$

5. ABELIANIZATION

Let $T \subset G$ denote a maximal torus and $W = N(T)/T$ its Weyl group. Consider the map $H^T(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^G(X, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the inclusion $T \rightarrow G$. We write $d_T \mapsto d_G$ if $d_T \in H_2^T(X, \mathbb{Z})$ maps to $d_G \in H_2^G(X, \mathbb{Z})$. In the special case that d_G lies in the image of a homology class $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, then there is a single lift d_T given by the image of d in $H_2^T(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We denote by $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{G, \rho, d_G}$ resp. $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{T, d_T, \rho}^{\text{twist}}$ the untwisted resp. $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$ -twisted vortex invariants for G resp. T . In this section we prove an abelianization theorem for gauged Gromov-Witten invariants of zero area, hence for gauged Gromov-Witten invariants of small area. The proof is complete only in the case that virtual fundamental classes are not needed; in general there are still foundational gaps. We first give a topological proof, assuming that X is convex, in which case the proof is essentially the same as Martin [26].

Proof of (2) in the convex case. Suppose that $d_K \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the image of $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, and d_T is the image of d in $H_2^T(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\phi_T^{-1}(0)$ resp. $\phi_K^{-1}(0)$ denote the subspace of stable maps of homology class d with average T resp. K moment map equal to zero. The projection $\phi_K^{-1}(0)/T \rightarrow \phi_K^{-1}(0)/K$ has fiber K/T with Euler

characteristic $\chi(K/T) = \chi((K/T)^T) = \#W$. The inclusion $\phi_K^{-1}(0)/T \rightarrow \phi_T^{-1}(0)/T$ has normal bundle $\phi_K^{-1}(0) \times_T (\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{t})^*$ with transverse section induced by ϕ_K . Since the connections are trivial in this case, the index bundle of $(\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{t})^*$ is $(\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{t})^*$ itself. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{K, d_K, 0} &= \int_{\phi_K^{-1}(0)/K} \text{ev}^* \alpha \wedge f^* \beta \\ &= (\#W)^{-1} \int_{\phi_K^{-1}(0)/T} \text{ev}^* \alpha \wedge f^* \beta \wedge \text{Eul}(\text{Ind}(\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{t})) \\ &= (\#W)^{-1} \int_{\phi_T^{-1}(0)/T} \text{ev}^* \alpha \wedge f^* \beta \wedge \text{Eul}(\text{Ind}((\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{t}) \oplus (\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{t})^*)) \\ &= (\#W)^{-1} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{T, d_T, 0}^{\text{twist}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In general we derive the abelianization formula (2) from a version for sheaf cohomology, assuming axiomatic properties of virtual fundamental classes as we now explain. Recall from Lee [25], Ciocan-Fontanine/Kapranov [8], Joshua [21] that the *virtual fundamental sheaf* of a Deligne-Mumford stack S equipped with a perfect obstruction theory $\phi : E \rightarrow L_S$ is defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{E}_S = h^1/h^0(E)$ denote the corresponding cone stack and C_S the intrinsic normal cone of Behrend-Fantechi [3]. Define

$$\mathcal{O}_S^{\text{vir}} := \mathcal{O}_S \bigotimes_{0_{\mathcal{E}_S}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_S})}^L 0_{\mathcal{E}_S}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C_S})$$

and $0_{\mathcal{E}_S} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_S$ the inclusion of the vertex. A *morphism* of Deligne-Mumford stacks S_0, S_1 equipped with perfect obstruction theories is a morphism of stacks $f : S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ together with a perfect *virtual normal* complex N fitting into an exact triangle $N \rightarrow f^*E_1 \rightarrow E_0$. The *virtual push-forward* of an object of the derived category

$$f_*^{\text{vir}} F := f_* \left(F \bigotimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}}^L \mathcal{O}_{S_0}^{\text{vir}} \right).$$

(We drop all notation indicating using of derived functors if there is no other interpretation.) If S_1 is a point then we denote by $\chi^{\text{vir}}(F)$ the Euler characteristic of $f_*^{\text{vir}}(F)$. Suppose that f is a closed embedding. By strong excision the local cohomology functors factor through the restriction r from S_1 to a formal neighborhood $\widehat{S_{1S_0}}$ of S_0 . let $p : \widehat{S_{1S_0}} \rightarrow S_0$ denote the canonical projection.

Assumption 5.0.1 (Properties of virtual fundamental sheaves). (a) (*Gysin isomorphism*) Let $f : S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ be a regular embedding of Deligne-Mumford stacks equipped with perfect obstruction theories (that is, so that the local rings are symmetric algebras on some virtual vector bundles.) Then $p_*^{\text{vir}} r^* f_* f^* F$ admits a filtration by order of vanishing at S_0 with associated graded complex $S(N) \otimes \det(N) \otimes f^* F[\text{rank}(N)]$.

- (b) (*Thom isomorphism*) Suppose that $f : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$ is a virtual vector bundle. Then $f_*^{\text{vir}} F$ is isomorphic to $f^* F \otimes S(N^\vee)$.
- (c) (*Compatibility under Riemann-Roch*, c.f. [8, 4.2.3.], [21, Corollary 2.10]) The leading order part of the image $\tau(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_0}^{\text{vir}})$ of the virtual fundamental sheaf under the Toen's Riemann-Roch map [36] is the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_0]$.

See Ciocan-Fontanine and Kapranov [8] for corresponding results for dg schemes, and Joshua [21] for closely related results in preparation for the case of dg stacks.

Theorem 5.1. (*Assuming 5.0.1*) Non-abelian localization holds for the action of G on $\overline{\mathcal{M}} := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\Sigma \times X)$, that is, for any object V in the equivariant derived category of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ we have an equality in the completed representation ring $\widehat{R}(G)$

$$(25) \quad \chi^{\text{vir}}(V)^G = \sum_{\lambda} (-1)^{\text{codim}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda)} \chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\lambda, V \otimes S(T_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\lambda}(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}^\lambda)^\vee \oplus T_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{M}}) \otimes \det(T_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{M}}) \otimes \lambda_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}_\lambda))^{G_\lambda}$$

where λ_{-1} denotes the exterior algebra and we have omitted restrictions to simplify notation.

Proof. As in Teleman [34]. By the spectral sequence associated to the filtration (20)

$$\chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}, V)^G = \sum_{\lambda} (-1)^{\text{codim}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda)} \chi_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda}^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}, V)^G.$$

Using the Gysin isomorphism,

$$\chi_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda}^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}, V)^G = \chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda, V \otimes S(T_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{M}})) \otimes \det(T_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{M}})^G.$$

Now the Thom isomorphism and isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda \cong G \times_{P_\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{Y}}^\lambda$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda, V \otimes S(T_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{M}})) \otimes \det(T_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{M}})^G \\ &= \chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}^\lambda, V \otimes S(T_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{M}})) \otimes \det(T_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{M}}) \otimes \lambda_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}_\lambda)^{G_\lambda} \\ &= \chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\lambda, V \otimes S(T_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{M}} \oplus T_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\lambda}(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}^\lambda)^\vee) \otimes \det(T_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\lambda} \overline{\mathcal{M}}) \otimes \lambda_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}_\lambda))^{G_\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

□

This implies a stacky, K -theory version of abelianization: For any $V \in R(G)$,

$$(26) \quad \dim(V^G) = (\#W)^{-1} \dim(V \otimes \lambda_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}))^T.$$

which follows easily from the Weyl character formula. Hence

Corollary 5.2. (*Stacky abelianization in K -theory*) For any G -equivariant sheaf E on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d), E)^G &= (\#W)^{-1} (\chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d), E) \otimes \lambda_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}))^T \\ &= (\#W)^{-1} \chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d), E \otimes \lambda_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}))^T. \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to deduce a statement about the quotients by G and T , that is, about the semistable loci, from the stacky version. Let L be a G -polarization for X . We construct a suitable determinant line bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_0$ as follows. Let $p : \overline{\mathcal{U}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_0$ denote the universal curve, and $q : \overline{\mathcal{U}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X)_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ the morphism given by $(C, \pi, u, \underline{z}, w) \rightarrow u(v(w))$, where $v : C \rightarrow \Sigma$. Define

$$D(k) = \det(Rp_* e^* L \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k));$$

the effect of the twist by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k)$ is to make the contribution from the principal component dominant.

Lemma 5.3. *For any type λ and class $d \in H_2(X)$, there exists a constant c such that the weight of $\mathbb{C}_\lambda^*$ on $D(k)|\mathcal{Z}^\lambda$ is at least $k(\lambda, \lambda) - c$.*

Proof. By Riemann-Roch, and the fact that $\mathbb{C}_\lambda^*$ acts on $L|Z_\lambda$ with weight (λ, λ) . $\square$

We denote by $\kappa_G(E)$ resp. $\kappa_T(E)$ the quotient of a G -equivariant vector bundle E on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d)$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_{0,G}$ resp. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_{0,T}$.

Corollary 5.4. *For any G -vector bundle E over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d)$, for $k \gg 0$ we have*

$$\chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_{0,G}, \kappa_G(E \otimes D(k))) = \chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d), W \otimes D(k))^G.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.3, for $k \gg 0$ the only contribution to the invariant part $\chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d), \kappa_G(E))^G$ comes from the semistable stratum. $\square$

Remark 5.5. If E is trivial, we do not claim that it suffices to take k positive: there may be negative weights in the virtual normal bundle.

Corollary 5.6. *Suppose that every 0-stable gauged map has finite automorphism group. For any G -equivariant vector bundle E on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d)$,*

$$\chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_{0,G}, \kappa_G(E)) = (\#W)^{-1} \chi^{\text{vir}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_{0,T}, \kappa_T(E \otimes \lambda_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}))).$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.4, the statement of Corollary 5.6 holds for classes of the form $E \otimes D(k)$ for $k \gg 0$. Consider the map

$$\kappa_G \oplus \kappa_T : K_G(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow K(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_{0,T}, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus K(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_{0,G}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The K -classes $(\kappa_T \oplus \kappa_G)(E \otimes D(k))$ for $E \otimes D(k)$ with K satisfying the condition of 5.4 generate the image of $K_G(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d), \mathbb{Q})$ in $K(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_{0,T}, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus K(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_{0,G}, \mathbb{Q})$, since this space is finite dimensional and tensoring with $D(k)$, $\kappa_G(D(k))$ resp. $\kappa_T(D(k))$ is an isomorphism. The result follows. $\square$

The final step is pass to cohomology using Riemann-Roch. Taking highest order terms on both sides of Corollary 5.6 gives

Corollary 5.7. *Suppose that any 0-stable gauged map has finite automorphism group. Then for any equivariant class α on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times X, d)$*

$$\int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_{0,G}]} \kappa_G(\alpha) = (\#W)^{-1} \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n(\mathbb{P}^1, X, d)_{0,T}]} \kappa_T(\alpha \wedge \text{Eul}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t})).$$

Combining with the main result Theorem 4.3 gives a version of abelianization for genus zero gauged Gromov-Witten invariants in the “small area” chamber:

Corollary 5.8. *Let X be a smooth projective G -variety such that any zero-stable gauged map has finite automorphism group. Then (under Assumption 5.0.1, if X is not convex) there exists a constant ρ_0 such that for all $\rho < \rho_0$*

$$(27) \quad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{G, d_G, \rho} = (\#W)^{-1} \sum_{d_T \mapsto d_G} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{T, d_T, \rho}^{\text{twist}}.$$

By combining these results with those of [15], one obtains the same result for arbitrary ρ (that is, for any of the natural stability conditions) under suitable finite automorphism assumptions. As we already mentioned, we will show elsewhere that the invariants in the large area chamber are related by a “morphism of CohFT”’s to the invariants of the quotients.

6. APPROXIMATION

In this section we complete the symplectic picture by showing an “approximation theorem” of the following kind: for any zero-area-vortex u we construct a nearby ρ -vortex for ρ sufficiently small. Furthermore, every vortex with sufficiently small area is obtained as such an approximation. The proof is somewhat harder in the symplectic setting because one lacks the action of the group of complex gauge transformations.

6.1. Newton iteration. The strategy of proof is to construct approximate solution and then use an iteration to construct an exact solution. It has in common with the analogous theorem in Gaio-Salamon [12] for the large area limit that the quadratic term does *not* satisfy a quadratic bound. However, the theorem in our case is substantially easier because the space on which the quadratic term is not uniformly bounded is finite dimensional. The approximation theorem implies that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, X)_0$ is contained in the closure of the union of moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Sigma, X)_\rho, \rho \leq \rho_0$, so that it provides a compactification in the usual sense. Given an zero-area-vortex (A, u) with A the trivial connection we consider the equation

$$F_{A+a} + \rho \text{Vol}_\Sigma(\exp_u \xi)^* \Phi = 0;$$

we think of ρ as a large number. Consider the Hodge splitting

$$(28) \quad \Omega^2(\Sigma, \mathfrak{k})_{1,p} = \text{Ker}(d^*) \oplus \text{Im}(d) \cong \mathfrak{k} \oplus \text{Im}(d).$$

We denote by π_0 resp. π_1 the projection on the first resp. second factor,

$$\pi_0(\xi) = \int_{\Sigma} \xi, \quad \pi_1(\xi) = \xi - \pi_0(\xi).$$

We define a map as in (8) but using Banach spaces defined by multiplying the standard $W^{1,p}$ resp. L^p , $p > 2$, norms on the harmonic pieces by ρ . That is,

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho} : \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{k})_{1,p} \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma, u^*TX)_{1,p} \rightarrow \text{Im}(\text{d} \oplus \text{d}^*)_{0,p} \oplus (\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{k}) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, u^*TX)_{0,p}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho} : \begin{bmatrix} a \\ \xi \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \\ \mu \\ \lambda \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1(F_{A+a} + \rho \text{Vol}_{\Sigma} \exp_u(\xi)^* \Phi) \\ \pi_1(\text{d}_A * a) \\ \pi_0(\exp_u(\xi)^* \Phi + \rho^{-1}(a \wedge a)) \\ \pi_0 L_{J\xi} \Phi \\ \Psi_u(\xi)^{-1} \bar{\partial}_{A+a} \exp_u(\xi) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here we have used that the terms $\int_{\Sigma} \text{d}_A a$, $\int_{\Sigma} \text{d}_A * a$ vanish by Stokes' theorem. The map $\mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}$ of (29) is a smooth map of Banach spaces, by standard Sobolev multiplication theorems. The double subscript in $\mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}$ is meant to indicate that we view $\mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}$ as a map with respect to the natural Banach spaces for zero area. Note that the third component of $\mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}$ has a term which grows linearly with the vortex parameter ρ .

Definition 6.1. Let $\tilde{D}_{a,\xi}^{0,\rho}$ denote the linearization of $\mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}$ at (a, ξ) , that is,

$$(30) \quad \tilde{D}_{a,\xi}^{0,\rho}(a_1, \xi_1) = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1(\text{d}_{A+a} a_1 + \rho \text{Vol}_{\Sigma} L_{\xi_1} \Phi) \\ \pi_1(\text{d}_A * a_1) \\ \pi_0(L_{\xi_1} \Phi + \rho^{-1} a \wedge a_1) \\ \pi_0 L_{J\xi_1} \Phi \\ D_{A+a, \exp_u(\xi)}(a_1, \xi_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note again that the third component has a term which grows linearly with ρ^{-1} . The sequence of operators $\tilde{D}_{0,\xi}^{0,\rho}$ (that is, with $a = 0$) has a limit $\tilde{D}_{0,\xi}^{0,0}$:

$$(31) \quad \tilde{D}_{0,\xi}^{0,0}(a_1, \xi_1) = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1(\text{d}_A a_1) \\ \pi_1(\text{d}_A * a_1) \\ \pi_0 L_{\xi_1} \Phi \\ \pi_0 L_{J\xi_1} \Phi \\ D_{A, \exp_u(\xi)}(a_1, \xi_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We wish to compare this operator with the linearized operator $\tilde{D}_u^0$ for the zero-area vortex u of (15), which does not have a gauge-theoretic part.

Lemma 6.2. $\tilde{D}_0^{0,0}$ is surjective if and only if $\tilde{D}_u^0$ is.

Proof. Since the operator $\text{d}_A \oplus \text{d}_A^*$ for the trivial connection A is surjective onto the first component in the Hodge decomposition (28). $\square$

Corollary 6.3. A zero-area vortex u is regular if and only if $\tilde{D}_0^{0,\rho}$ is surjective for ρ sufficiently small.

Next we wish to study suitable right inverses for the operators $\tilde{D}_0^{0,\rho}$.

Lemma 6.4. *If (A, u) is a regular zero-area-vortex then the linearization $\tilde{D}_0^{0,0}$ has a uniformly bounded right inverse $Q_0^{0,0}$ with the following property: There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that if*

$$Q_0^{0,0}(\phi, \psi, \mu, \lambda, \nu) = (a, \xi)$$

then

$$(32) \quad \|a\| \leq c\|\phi, \psi\|, \quad \|\xi\| \leq c\|\phi, \psi, \mu, \lambda, \nu\|$$

Proof. Define $Q_0^{0,0}$ by

$$Q_0^{0,0}(\phi, \psi, \mu, \lambda, \nu) = (a, \xi)$$

where

$$a = (d_A \oplus d_A^*)^{-1}(\phi, \psi)$$

using the canonical right inverse to $d_A \oplus d_A^*$ given by the Hodge splitting, and

$$\xi = (\pi_0 L_{\xi_1} \oplus \pi_0 L_{J\xi_1} \oplus D_{A,u})^{-1}(\mu, \lambda, \nu - D_{A,u}(a, 0)).$$

The claimed properties are immediate. $\square$

Corollary 6.5. *If (A, u) is a regular zero-area-vortex then the linearization $\tilde{D}_0^{0,\rho}$ has a uniformly bounded right inverse $Q_0^{0,\rho}$ with*

$$Q_0^{0,\rho} = Q_0^0 + \rho Q_1^0$$

for some uniformly bounded operator Q_1^0 , and

$$Q_0^{0,\rho}(\phi, \psi, \mu, \lambda, \nu) = (a, \xi)$$

with $\|a\| \leq c\|\phi, \psi\|$ and $\|\xi\| \leq c\|\phi, \psi, \mu, \lambda, \nu\|$.

Proof. Since $\tilde{D}_0^{0,\rho} = \tilde{D}_0^{0,0} + \rho \tilde{D}_0^{1,0}$ where $\tilde{D}_0^{1,0}(a_1, \xi_1) = (\pi_1(L_{\xi_1}\Phi), 0, 0, 0, 0)$. $\square$

Lemma 6.6. *The map $\mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}$ satisfies a uniform quadratic estimate except for a term quadratic in a which has norm linear in ρ^{-1} :*

$$\mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}(a + a_1, \xi + \xi_1) - \mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}(a, \xi) = \tilde{D}_{a,\xi}^{0,\rho}(a_1, \xi_1) + (\phi, 0, \mu, 0, \eta)$$

where

$$\|\phi\| \leq c_1(\|a_1\|^2 + \rho\|\xi_1\|^2) \quad \|\mu\| \leq c_2(\|\xi_1\|^2 + \rho^{-1}\|a_1\|^2) \quad \|\eta\| \leq c_3\|a_1, \xi_1\|^2$$

for some constants c_1, c_2, c_3 depending on $\|A\|, \|u\|$ and a bound on $\|a\|, \|\xi\|$.

Proof. We have

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}(a + a_1, \xi + \xi_1) \\ - \mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}(a, \xi) \end{aligned} = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} d_{A+a}a_1 + [a_1, a_1]/2 + \rho \text{Vol}_\Sigma \\ (\exp_u(\xi + \xi_1)^*\Phi - \exp_u(\xi)^*\Phi) \end{array} \right) \\ \pi_1(d_A * a_1) \\ \pi_0 \left(\begin{array}{c} \exp_u(\xi + \xi_1)^*\Phi - \exp_u(\xi)^*\Phi \\ + \rho^{-1}(d_{A+a}a_1 + [a_1, a_1]/2) \end{array} \right) \\ \pi_0(L_{J\xi_1}\Phi) \\ \Psi_u(\xi + \xi_1)^{-1}\bar{\partial}_{A+a+a_1}\exp_u(\xi + \xi_1) - \\ \Psi_u(\xi)^{-1}\bar{\partial}_{A+a}\exp_u(\xi) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$(34) \quad \mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}(a + a_1, \xi + \xi_1) - \mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}(a, \xi) = \tilde{D}_{a,\xi}^{0,\rho}(a_1, \xi_1) + (\phi, 0, \mu, 0, \nu)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\| &= \|[a_1, a_1]/2\| \\ &\leq c_1(\|a\|^2 + \rho\|\xi_1\|^2) \\ \|\mu\| &= \|\exp_u(\xi + \xi_1)^*\Phi - \exp_u(\xi)^*\Phi - L_{\xi_1}\exp_u(\xi)^*\Phi + \rho[a_1, a_1]/2\| \\ &\leq c_2(\|\xi_1\|^2 + \rho^{-1}\|a_1\|^2) \\ \|\nu\| &\leq c_3\|a_1, \xi_1\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. $\square$

Theorem 6.7. *Let $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$. Given a regular, stable zero-area-vortex $u := (u_0, \dots, u_m)$ of combinatorial type Γ there exists a neighborhood U of 0 in $\text{Def}_\Gamma(u)$ and constants $c_0, \rho_0 > 0$ such that for $\rho < \rho_0$ and $(a, \xi) \in U$ there exists a unique (a_ρ, ξ_ρ) of the same type depending smoothly on a, ξ such that*

$$(A + a_\rho, u_\rho = \exp_u(\xi + \xi_\rho))$$

is a ρ -vortex of combinatorial type Γ in Coulomb gauge with respect to (A, u) and with $\|\xi_\rho\| < c_0\rho^{3/2}$ and (a_ρ, ξ_ρ) in the image of the right inverse $Q^{0,\rho}$ of Lemma 6.4. Furthermore, (a_ρ, ξ_ρ) depends smoothly on ξ .

Proof. Suppose first that $(A, u) = (A, u)$ has no bubbles. We use Newton iteration to find a_ρ, ξ_ρ such that $\mathcal{F}_{A, \exp_u(\xi)}^{0,\rho}(a_\rho, \xi_\rho) = 0$. To solve the Newton iteration we must show that our initial condition is a sufficiently approximate solution so that the blow-up of the quadratic term does not affect convergence of the iteration. Let $Q^{0,\rho} := Q_0^{0,\rho}$ denote the right inverse of Lemma 6.4. We define by induction a sequence

$$\hat{\zeta}_\nu = -Q^{0,\rho}\mathcal{F}^{0,\rho}\zeta_\nu, \quad \zeta_{\nu+1} = \zeta_\nu + \hat{\zeta}_\nu$$

such that $\hat{\zeta}_\nu$ becomes progressively smaller in the sense that

$$(35) \quad \hat{\zeta}_\nu = (\hat{a}_\nu, \hat{\xi}_\nu), \quad \|\hat{a}_\nu\| \leq c_0\rho^\nu, \quad \|\hat{\xi}_\nu\| \leq c_0\rho^{\nu+1}.$$

To get the iteration started we define

$$\zeta_1 = \hat{\zeta}_0 = -Q^{0,\rho}(\rho \exp_u(\xi)^* \Phi, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Hence

$$\zeta_1 = (a_1, \xi_1), \quad \|\zeta_1\| \leq c_4 \rho$$

with c_4 depending on $\sup_{x \in X} |\Phi(x)|$ and the norm of $Q^{0,\rho}$ which is uniformly bounded by Lemma 6.4. Define

$$\hat{\zeta}_1 = -Q^{0,\rho} \mathcal{F}^{0,\rho}(\zeta_1), \quad \zeta_2 = \zeta_1 + \hat{\zeta}_1 = (a_2, \xi_2).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{A,u}^{0,\rho}(\zeta_2) = (\phi_2, 0, \mu_2, 0, \eta_2)$$

and by Lemma 6.6

$$\|\phi_2\|, \|\eta_2\| < c_5 \rho^2, \quad \|\mu_2\| < c_6 \rho$$

for some constants c_5, c_6 depending on c_1, c_2, c_3 . Using Lemma 6.4 we have

$$\hat{\zeta}_2 = (\hat{a}_2, \hat{\xi}_2), \quad \|\hat{a}_2\| < c_7 \rho^2, \quad \|\hat{\xi}_2\| < c_8 \rho$$

for some constants c_7, c_8 depending on the previous constants and the norm of Q_1 .

Suppose that the sequence $\hat{\zeta}_1, \dots, \hat{\zeta}_{\nu-1}$ constructed in this way satisfies the hypotheses (35). By Lemma 6.6, there exists a constant $c_9 > 0$ depending on the previous constants such that

$$(\phi_\nu, \psi_\nu, \mu_\nu, \lambda_\nu, \eta_\nu) := \mathcal{F}^{0,\rho}(\zeta_\nu)$$

satisfies for ρ such that for $\rho < 3c_0c_9$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_\nu\| &< c_9(\|a_\nu\|^2 + \rho\|\xi_\nu\|^2) \\ &< c_9(c_0^2 \rho^{2\nu} + c_0^2 \rho^{2(\nu-1)+1}) \\ &< c_0 \rho^{\nu+1} \\ \|\mu_\nu\| &< c_9(\|\xi_\nu\|^2 + \rho\|a_\nu\|^2) \\ &< c_9 c_0^2 (\rho^{2(\nu-1)} + \rho^{2\nu-1}) \\ &< c_0 \rho^\nu \\ \|\eta_\nu\| &< c_9 \|a_1, \xi_1\|^2 \\ &< c_9 c_0^2 (\rho)^{2\nu} + c_9 c_0^2 \rho^{2\nu-1} + c_9 c_0^2 (\rho)^{2(\nu-1)} \\ &< c_0 \rho^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $Q^{0,\rho}$ gives an element $\hat{\zeta}_\nu = (\hat{a}_\nu, \hat{\xi}_\nu)$ satisfying (35), as required. Because $\|\hat{\zeta}_\nu\| < c_0 \rho^\nu$, ζ_ν is a cauchy sequence and converges to a limit ζ_0 with

$$(36) \quad \|\zeta_0 - \zeta_\nu\| < c_0 \rho^{\nu+1} / (1 - \rho) < c_0 \rho^{\nu+1}.$$

To prove uniqueness, suppose that a'_ρ, ξ'_ρ is another solution with

$$(37) \quad \|\xi_\rho - \xi'_\rho\| < c_0 \rho^{3/2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{F}_u^{\rho,0}(a_\rho, \xi_\rho) - \mathcal{F}_u^{\rho,0}(a'_\rho, \xi'_\rho) \\ &= D_0^{\rho,0}(a_\rho - a'_\rho, \xi_\rho - \xi'_\rho) + \zeta \end{aligned}$$

for some ζ . By the uniform quadratic estimate in Lemma 6.6 and the assumption (37)

$$(38) \quad \|\zeta\| < c_0 \rho.$$

Since $(a_\rho - a'_\rho, \xi_\rho - \xi'_\rho)$ lies in the image of $Q^{\rho,0}$, we have

$$(39) \quad \|a_\rho - a'_\rho, \xi_\rho - \xi'_\rho\| \geq c$$

which contradicts (38) for c_0 sufficiently small. Smooth dependence follows from the implicit function theorem.

The proof in the case with bubbles is similar. Indeed, let u be a stable zero-area vortex. We take as approximate solution the gluing u . We use Newton iteration to find a_ρ, ξ_ρ such that $\mathcal{F}_\xi^{0,\rho}(a_\rho, \xi_\rho) = 0$. Since the quadratic estimate on the bubbles independent of the vortex parameter, the estimates are the same. $\square$

6.2. Combining gluing and iteration. For any collection of gluing parameters $\underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m)$ and $\xi \in \Omega^0(u^*TX)$, let

$$\exp_{u\underline{\delta}}(\xi^{\underline{\delta}}) : C^{\underline{\delta}} \rightarrow X$$

the glued zero-area vortex constructed using the implicit function theorem as in [27, Section 10], so that

$$\text{Def}(u) \rightarrow \overline{M}(\Sigma, X)_0, \quad (\xi, \underline{\delta}) \mapsto \exp_{u\underline{\delta}}(\xi^{\underline{\delta}})$$

gives local charts for $\overline{M}(\Sigma, X)_0$ as in [16]. Thus $C^{\underline{\delta}}$ is obtained from C by removing small balls around the nodes, and gluing together the components using maps $\kappa_j^\pm = \kappa_j^- / \delta_j$, where $\kappa_j^\pm$ are fixed local coordinates around the nodes, $u^{\underline{\delta}}$ is an approximate solution constructed using cutoff functions, and $\xi^{\underline{\delta}}$ is the correction provided by the implicit function theorem. Given a set of markings $\underline{z}$ on C in the complement of the domain of the local coordinates near the nodes we denote by $\underline{z}^{\underline{\delta}}$ the markings on $C^{\underline{\delta}}$. We now wish to combine the gluing construction for holomorphic curves with the approximation Theorem 6.7. This will give rise to charts for our moduli space near $\rho = 0$.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$. Given a regular, stable zero-area-vortex $u := (u_0, \dots, u_m)$ of combinatorial type Γ there exists a neighborhood U of 0 in $\text{Def}(u)$ and constants $c_0, \rho_0 > 0$ such that for $\rho < \rho_0$ and $(a, \xi, \underline{\delta}) \in U$ there exists a unique (a_ρ, ξ_ρ) such that*

$$(A_{\text{triv}} + a + a_\rho, u_\rho = \exp_{u\underline{\delta}}(\xi^{\underline{\delta}} + \xi_\rho))$$

is a ρ -vortex in Coulomb gauge with respect to the trivial connection A_{triv} , $\|a_\rho, \xi_\rho\| < c_0 \rho^{3/2}$, and (a_ρ, ξ_ρ) in the image of the right inverse $Q_0^{0,\rho}$ of Lemma 6.4. Furthermore, (a_ρ, ξ_ρ) depends stratified-smoothly on $\xi, \underline{\delta}$, that is, smoothly on the subset where the gluing parameters $\delta_i, i \in I$ are non-zero, for each $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$.

In the theorem $\text{Def}(u)$ refers to the space of infinitesimal deformations of u considered as a zero-area vortex, not as a holomorphic map.

Proof. Let $\exp_{u,\underline{\delta}}(\xi^{\underline{\delta}})$ denote the zero-area vortex given by the gluing construction, see Theorem 3.6. We wish to solve

$$\mathcal{F}_{u,\xi,\underline{\delta}}^{0,\rho}(a_\rho, \xi_\rho) := \mathcal{F}_{\exp_{u,\underline{\delta}}(\xi^{\underline{\delta}})}^{0,\rho}(a_\rho, \xi_\rho) = 0$$

for a_ρ, ξ_ρ , using Newton iteration. The error term

$$\rho \exp_{u,\underline{\delta}}(\xi^{\underline{\delta}})^* P(\Phi)$$

is uniformly bounded in $\underline{\delta}$ and ρ , by the bound on Φ . The norms of the operators $Q_0^{0,\rho}$ and $\tilde{D}^{0,\rho}$ at $\exp_{u,\underline{\delta}}(\xi^{\underline{\delta}})$ are uniformly bounded in $\underline{\delta}$ and ρ :

$$\|Q_0^{0,\rho}\| < c, \quad \|\tilde{D}^{0,\rho}\| < c.$$

Indeed, the inverse to $D_{\exp_{u,\underline{\delta}}(\xi^{\underline{\delta}})}$ is uniformly bounded in $\underline{\delta}$, by the gluing argument for holomorphic curves in [27, Chapter 10]. The claim now follows from Lemma 6.4. The map $\mathcal{F}_{A,u,\underline{\delta}}^{0,\rho}$ satisfies a quadratic estimate uniformly in $\underline{\delta}$ and uniformly in ρ except for a term quadratic in a which has norm linear in ρ , just as in Lemma 6.6. The same argument as in the case of smooth domain in Theorem 6.7 gives a solution a_ρ, ξ_ρ by Newton iteration. Smoothness on each stratum follows from smoothness of $\xi(\underline{\delta})$ on $\xi, \underline{\delta}$ and the smoothness statement of Theorem 6.7. $\square$

Let u be a stable zero-area vortex. Let $\text{Def}(u)_\epsilon$ denote a ϵ -ball around 0 in the space $\text{Def}(u)$ of infinitesimal deformations of (17). Theorem 6.7 defines for ϵ sufficiently small a map

$$T_u^\rho : \text{Def}(u)_\epsilon \rightarrow \overline{M}(\Sigma, X)_\rho.$$

6.3. Surjectivity. The collection of images of the maps T_u^ρ covers $M(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ for ρ sufficiently small:

Theorem 6.9. *Suppose that every zero-area polystable vortex is regular and stable. For any constant $c > 0$ and $d \in H_2^G(X, \mathbb{Z})$, there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that if (A, u) is a ρ -vortex with $\rho < \rho_0$ then (A, u) is in the image of $T_{u'}$ for some polystable zero-area vortex u' .*

Proof. Suppose that the assertion in the statement does not hold, that is, for every integer ρ there exists a ρ -vortex (A_ρ, u_ρ) not in the image of $T_{u_0}^\rho$. By the compactness Theorem 4.7, after passing to a subsequence and gauge transformations (A_ρ, u_ρ) converges to a zero-area-vortex u_0 . To prove the theorem it suffices to construct a zero-area vortex $(A', u') = (a', \exp_u \xi')$ such that $T_{u_0}^\rho(A', u')$ is within $c_0 \rho^{3/2}$ of (A_ρ, u_ρ) for ρ sufficiently small, where c_0 is the constant of Theorem 6.8, and differs by an element in the image of the right inverse $Q^{\rho,0}$ in the sense that

$$T_{u_0}^\rho(A', u') = (A + a, \exp_u(\xi)), \quad (a, \xi) \in \text{Im } Q^{\rho,0}.$$

First consider the case that u has smooth domain $C \cong \Sigma$, hence u_ρ has smooth domain as well. We define u' by reversing the initial approximation in the previous theorem. We may assume that (A_ρ, u_ρ) is in Coulomb gauge, that is,

$$(40) \quad d^* A_\rho = 0, \quad E_{u_0}^* \xi_\rho = 0.$$

Define as a first step in the approximation

$$(41) \quad (a_1, \xi_1) = Q^0(\pi_1 \rho u_\rho^* \Phi, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

We have an estimate $\|a_1, \xi_1\| < c_1 \rho$ where c_1 depends on the bound on Φ and the norm of Q^0 . Consider the map

$$\mathcal{F}^{0,0} : \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{k})_{1,p} \oplus \Omega^0(C, u^* TX)_{1,p} \rightarrow \text{Im}(d \oplus d^*)_{0,p} \oplus (\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{k}) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(C, u^* TX)_{0,p}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ \xi \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1 F_a \\ \pi_1 d^* \xi \\ \int_\Sigma \exp_u(\xi)^* \Phi \\ E_u^* \xi \\ \Psi_u(\xi)^{-1} \bar{\partial} \exp_u(\xi) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using (40), (41), the quadratic estimate for $\mathcal{F}^{0,0}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}^{0,0}(a_\rho - a_1, \xi_\rho - \xi_1)\| &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1 F_{a_\rho - a_1} \\ \pi_1 d^*(a_\rho - a_1) \\ \int_\Sigma \exp_u(\xi_\rho - \xi_1) - \exp_u(\xi_\rho) \\ E_{u_\rho}^* \xi_\rho \\ \Psi_u(\xi)^{-1} \bar{\partial} \exp_u(\xi) - \\ \Psi_u(\xi - \xi_\rho)^{-1} \bar{\partial} \exp_u(\xi - \xi_\rho) \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1(F_{a_\rho} + \rho u_\rho^* \Phi + \frac{1}{2}[a_1, a_1]) \\ 0 \\ \int_\Sigma \exp_u(\xi_\rho - \xi_1)^* \Phi - \exp_u(\xi_\rho)^* \Phi \\ 0 \\ \Psi_u(\xi_\rho - \xi_1)^{-1} \bar{\partial} \exp_u(\xi_\rho - \xi_1) - \\ \Psi_u(\xi_\rho)^{-1} \bar{\partial} \exp_u(\xi_\rho) \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &\leq c_2 \rho^2 \end{aligned}$$

where c_2 depends on c_1 and the constants in the Sobolev multiplication theorems. By the implicit function theorem for the map $\mathcal{F}^{0,0}$, there exists a zero-area vortex $u' = \exp_{u_0}(\xi)$ within $c_3 \rho^2$ of $(A_\rho - a_1, \exp_u(\xi_\rho - \xi_1))$ in the sense that

$$\|A_\rho - a_1\|^2 + \|\xi - \xi_\rho + \xi_1\|^2 < c_3^2 \rho^4.$$

Now consider the ρ -vortex

$$(A'_\rho, u'_\rho) := T_{\rho, u_0}(\xi) =: (A'_\rho, \exp_{u_0}(\xi'_\rho)).$$

By the proof of the previous theorem, this vortex lies within $c_4 \rho^2$ of the first step in the Newton iteration,

$$(A'_{\rho,1}, u'_{\rho,1}) = (a_{\rho,1}, \exp_{u_1}(\xi_{\rho,1}))$$

where

$$(42) \quad (a_{\rho,1}, \xi_{\rho,1}) = Q^0(\pi_1 \rho u_1^* \Phi, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Now (a_ρ, ξ_ρ) lies within $c_1 \rho^2$ of $(a_{\rho,1}, \xi_{\rho,1})$ by (41) and hence within $c_5 \rho^2$ of (a'_ρ, ξ'_ρ) . For ρ sufficiently small, $c_5 \rho^2 < c_0 \rho^{3/2}$.

Next we show that we may assume that (a', ξ') lies in the image of $Q^{0,\rho}$. Given (a, ξ) as the previous paragraph, we claim that

$$(43) \quad (a, \xi) = (a_0, \xi_0) + (a_1, \xi_1), \quad (a_0, \xi_0) \in \ker \tilde{D}_{A_0, u_0}, \quad (a_1, \xi_1) \in \text{Im } Q^{0,\rho}$$

with norm $\|(a_1, \xi_1)\| \leq c \|(a_1, \xi_1)\|$. Given the claim, the proposition follows by the uniqueness statement of the implicit function theorem. For any $c > 0$ there exists δ_0 such that for $\delta < \delta_0$, $\|\tilde{D}_{A_0, u_0}^\rho(a_0, \xi_0)\| \leq c \|(a_0, \xi_0)\|$. Thus the space $\ker \tilde{D}_{A_0, u}^0$ is transverse to the image of $Q^{0,\rho}$, for ρ sufficiently small: it meets $\text{Im } Q^{0,\rho}$ trivially and projects isomorphically onto $\ker \tilde{D}_{A_0, u}^\rho$, by the usual gluing argument for indices. By the inverse function theorem, any nearby pair (a, ξ) is of the form (43).

The case with bubbles is similar, using a map obtained by combining $\mathcal{F}^{0,0}$ with the usual Cauchy-Riemann equation on the bubbles. Namely, by iteration one constructs a zero-area vortex u' and a pair (a', ξ') such that $T_{u'}^\rho(a, \xi)$ is within $c_0 \rho^{3/2}$ of (A, u) . Thus $(A, u) = (a + a_1, \exp_{u\delta}(\xi^\delta + \xi_1))$ for some $a_1, \underline{\xi}_1$ small. Then the inverse function theorem and the fact that the images of $\ker \tilde{D}_{A_0, u_0}$ and $\text{Im } Q_0^{0,\rho}$ are complementary for $\rho, \underline{\delta}$ sufficiently small implies that

$$(44) \quad (a_1, \xi_1) = (a_0^\delta, \xi_0^\delta) + (a_2, \underline{\xi}_2)$$

for some $(a_0, \xi_0) \in \ker \tilde{D}_{A_0, u_0}$, gluing parameters $\underline{\delta}$, and $(a_2, \xi_2) \in \text{Im } Q^{0,\rho}$ with norm $\|(a_2, \xi_2)\| \leq c \|(a_1, \xi_1)\|$. Surjectivity then follows by the uniqueness part of the implicit function theorem used in the gluing construction. $\square$

7. COBORDISM

The purpose of this section is to prove the following: Consider the union of moduli spaces

$$\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\rho, 0]} := \bigcup_{\rho' \in [\rho, 0]} \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_{\rho'}.$$

Let $\overline{M}(\Sigma, X)_{[\rho, 0]}$ denote the locus with finite vortex parameter. Let $\overline{M}_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_{[\rho, 0]}$ denote the union of the moduli spaces $\overline{M}_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_{\rho'}$ for $\rho' \in [\rho, 0]$. These spaces have natural topologies, similar to that of Gromov convergence.

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose that every element of $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_0$ is regular. For ρ sufficiently small, $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\rho, 0]}$ has the structure of an oriented stratified-smooth orbifold, giving an oriented stratified-smooth orbifold cobordism between $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_\rho$ and $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_0$.*

7.1. Rigidification. We will need a version of the above results that prescribes the value of the glued curve at given marked points. We denote by $T_u^{\underline{\delta}, \rho}$ the restriction of T_u^ρ to pairs of the form $(\xi, \underline{\delta})$, that is, with gluing parameter fixed.

Lemma 7.2. *Suppose that $(A, u) = T_u^{\underline{\delta}, \rho}(\xi)$, for some collection of non-zero gluing parameters $\underline{\delta}$. The derivative of $T_u^{\underline{\delta}, \rho}$ at ξ is the projection of $\ker(\tilde{D}_{u'})$ onto $\ker(\tilde{D}_{A, u})$ along the image of $Q^{\rho, 0}$, and is an injection for ξ sufficiently small.*

Proof. We have

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \mathcal{F}^\rho(T_u^{\underline{\delta}}(\xi + t\xi')) = \tilde{D}_u(D_\xi T_u^{\underline{\delta}})(\xi') = \tilde{D}_u(\xi' + \xi'')$$

for some ξ'' in the image of the right inverse $Q^{\rho, 0}$. This shows that the linearization is the projection described in the lemma. To see that this is an injection, note that by Lemma 6.6, the image of the right inverse has trivial intersection with $\ker(\tilde{D}_u)$. $\square$

Let $\underline{H} = (H_1, \dots, H_l) \subset X^l$ be a collection of hypersurfaces in X , and u' a zero-area vortex.

Definition 7.3. $\underline{H}$ is *compatible* with u' if each H_j meets u' transversally in a single point z_j^+ , and the rooted curve $(C, \underline{z} \cup \underline{z}^+)$ obtained by adding the additional marked points is stable. The zero-area vortex u' is $\underline{H}$ -*regular* if the map $\tilde{D}_{u'}$ restricted to the space of ξ with $\xi(z_j^+) \in TH_j, j = 1, \dots, l$ is surjective, and $\underline{H}$ is compatible with u .

Theorem 7.4. *For any $\underline{H}$ -regular, stable zero-area-vortex $u := (u_0, \dots, u_m)$ of combinatorial type Γ there exists a neighborhood U of 0 in $\ker(\tilde{D}_u)$ and constants $c, \rho_0 > 0$ such that for $\rho < \rho_0$ and $(\exp_u(\xi), \underline{\delta}) \in U$ with $\xi(z_j^+) \in TH_j$ there exists a unique (a_ρ, ξ_ρ) such that*

$$(A + a_\rho, u_\rho = \exp_u(\xi_\rho^\delta + \xi_\rho))$$

is a ρ -vortex in Coulomb gauge with respect to (A, u) with

$$u_\rho(z_j^+) \in H_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, l$$

and with $\|a_\rho, \xi_\rho\| < c\rho^{3/2}$ and (a_ρ, ξ_ρ) in the image of the right inverse $Q^{0, \rho}$ of Lemma 6.4.

Proof. Same as for Theorem 6.8, using a right inverse for $\tilde{D}_{u'}$ that maps to $\{\xi, \xi(z_j^+) \in TH_j, j = 1, \dots, l\}$. $\square$

Definition 7.5. $\underline{H}$ is a *minimal* collection of hypersurfaces iff the number of additional markings z_j^+ on each component of u is exactly the number needed to make $(C, \underline{z}^+)$ stable, that is, 3 minus the number of nodes $w_j^\pm$ contained in the component.

Lemma 7.6. *Let u be a zero-area vortex. Then u is $\underline{H}$ -regular if and only if u is regular.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the space of ξ with $\xi(z_j^+) = 0, j = 1, \dots, l$ is complementary to the image of $\text{aut}(C)$ in $\ker(\tilde{D}_u)$. Suppose that ξ is generated by a non-zero element of $\text{aut}(C)$. Suppose that ξ is non-zero on a component C_j . By stability u_j is necessarily non-trivial. By the transversality assumption there exists some z_i^+ with $\xi_j(z_i^+) \neq 0$, which proves complementarity. $\square$

Let u' be an $\underline{H}$ -regular zero-area vortex. Define

$$\text{Def}(u', \underline{H}) = \{\xi \in \Omega^0(C, u'^*TX), \tilde{D}_{u'}\xi = 0, \xi(z_j^+) \in TH_j, j = 1, \dots, l\}.$$

Let $\text{Def}(u', \underline{H})_\epsilon$ denote a ϵ -ball around 0 in $\text{Def}(u') \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^m$. The previous theorem defines for δ sufficiently small, independent of ρ , a map

$$T_{u', \underline{H}} : \text{Def}(u', \underline{H})_\epsilon \rightarrow M(\Sigma, X)_{[\rho, 0]}.$$

Theorem 7.7. *Suppose that every zero-area vortex is regular. For any homology class $d \in H_2^K(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and constant $c > 0$, there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that if (A, u) is a ρ -vortex with $\rho < \rho_0$ and $u(z_j^+) \in H_j, j = 1, \dots, l$ then $(A, u) = T_{u', \underline{H}}(\xi, \underline{\delta})$ for some zero-area vortex u' , some $\xi \in \text{Def}(u', \underline{H})$, and some collection of gluing parameters $\underline{\delta}$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 7.6, the argument is the same as that for Theorem 6.9. $\square$

The proof of Lemma 7.2 extends to the $\underline{H}$ -rigidified setting:

Lemma 7.8. *Suppose that $(A, u) = T_{u', \underline{H}}^{\underline{\delta}}(\xi)$, and all components of $\underline{\delta}$ are non-zero. The derivative of $T_{u', \underline{H}}^{\underline{\delta}}$ at ξ is composition of the projection of $\ker(\tilde{D}_{u'})$ onto $\ker(\tilde{D}_{A, u})$ along the image of $Q^{\rho, 0}$, and is an injection for ξ sufficiently small.*

Proposition 7.9. *Each map $T_{u', \underline{H}}$ is a homeomorphism onto an open neighborhood of u' .*

Proof. By Theorem 7.4 and Lemma 7.8, the image of $T_{u', \underline{H}}$ is an open neighborhood of u' . Suppose that (A, u) is in the image. Since $(C, \underline{z}^+)$ is stable, the gluing parameters $\underline{\delta}$ are uniquely determined by the curve u . (If $(C, \underline{z})$ is a stable curve with exactly three special points on each component, and $(C', \underline{z}')$ a nearby stable curve in the Gromov topology, then there exists a unique set of gluing parameters $\underline{\delta}$ such that $(C', \underline{z}') = (C^{\underline{\delta}}, \underline{z}^{\underline{\delta}})$.) Uniqueness of ξ with $u = \exp_{u'}(\xi)$ then follows by Lemma 7.8, which shows that $T_{u', \underline{H}}$ is an immersion. To show that $T_{u', \underline{H}}$ is a homeomorphism, it remains to show that $T_{u', \underline{H}}$ is open, that is, if $(A_\nu, u_\nu) \rightarrow (A, u)$ with $(A_\nu, u_\nu), (A, u)$ in the image then $\xi_\nu \rightarrow \xi, \rho_\nu \rightarrow \rho, \underline{\delta}_\nu \rightarrow \underline{\delta}$. Now the gluing parameters $\underline{\delta}_\nu, \underline{\delta}$ are determined continuously by u_ν, u , hence $u_\nu \rightarrow u$ implies $\underline{\delta}_\nu \rightarrow \underline{\delta}$. By Theorem 6.8, $T_{u', \underline{H}}(\xi_\nu, \underline{\delta}) \rightarrow T_{u', \underline{H}}(\xi, \underline{\delta})$ implies $\xi_\nu \rightarrow \xi$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 7.1. That the moduli spaces $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\rho, 0]}$ are stratified-smooth orbifolds for ρ sufficiently small is [15, Theorem 6.2.3], using the fact that the $U(1)$ -action on the moduli space of polarized vortices is free for ρ sufficiently small. Charts

for $\overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\rho, 0]}$ near zero-area vortices are given by the maps $T_{u', \underline{H}}$ of Theorem 7.7. By Proposition 7.9, $T_{u', \underline{H}}$ is a homeomorphism onto an open neighborhood of u' , and so defines a chart. To show stratified-smooth compatibility, first consider the restriction $T_{u', \underline{H}}^{\Gamma_0}$ of $T_{u', \underline{H}}$ to the open stratum Γ_0 defined by all gluing parameters non-zero. Then $T_{u', \underline{H}}^{\Gamma_0}$ is an immersion: any infinitesimal change in the gluing parameters produces a non-zero change in the values of u at the additional markings z_j^+ , while any infinitesimal change in ξ leaves the values at z_j^+ unchanged. Any pair $(\xi', \underline{\delta}')$ in the kernel of $DT_{u', \underline{H}}^{\Gamma_0}$ therefore must have $\underline{\delta}' = 0$. So it suffices to show that $\xi' \mapsto DT_{u', \underline{H}}^{\Gamma_0}(0, \xi')$ is an immersion. But $DT_{u', \underline{H}}^{\Gamma_0}(0, \xi')$ is the projection of $\ker(\tilde{D}_{u'})$ onto $\ker(\tilde{D}_{A, u})$ along the image of the right inverse, which is an injection by Lemma 7.8.

Next, consider the case of two collections $\underline{H}, \underline{H}'$ of hypersurfaces used for rigidification. The transition map between the two charts is smooth, on the stratum Γ of u . Indeed, by the implicit function theorem, for each zero-area vortex u and $\xi \in \text{Def}(u, \underline{H})$ there exists a unique automorphism $a(\xi, \underline{\delta})$ such that

$$a(\xi) \exp_u(\xi)(z_j^+) \in H_j, j = 1, \dots, l.$$

It follows that the charts given by $T_{u, \underline{H}}$ and $T_{u, \underline{H}'}$ are stratified-smooth compatible on the stratum containing u . The argument for the other strata is a combination of the above two cases and left to the reader.

An orientation for the moduli space is constructed from orientations on the determinant lines of the linearized operators $\tilde{D}_{\tilde{A}, u}$, induced from the deformation to the sum of $\tilde{D}_{A, u}$ and a trivial two-dimensional operator. $\square$

Suppose that every zero-area vortex is stable and regular. In this case, every element of $\overline{M}_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_{[\rho, 0]}$ is stable and regular for sufficiently small ρ , and so the projection

$$\overline{M}_n^{\text{fr}}(\Sigma, X)_{[\rho, 0]} \rightarrow \overline{M}_n(\Sigma, X)_{[\rho, 0]}$$

has the structure of an orbifold principal K^n -bundle. In the case that every vortex is stable and regular, this leads to an equality of ρ and 0-vortex invariants as before.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 308:523–615, 1982.
- [2] K. Behrend. Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry. *Invent. Math.*, 127(3):601–617, 1997.
- [3] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi. The intrinsic normal cone. *Invent. Math.*, 128(1):45–88, 1997.
- [4] K. Behrend and Yu. Manin. Stacks of stable maps and Gromov-Witten invariants. *Duke Math. J.*, 85(1):1–60, 1996.
- [5] Aaron Bertram, Ionuț Ciocan-Fontanine, and Bumsig Kim. Gromov-Witten invariants for abelian and nonabelian quotients. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 17(2):275–294, 2008.

- [6] Kai Cieliebak, A. Rita Gaio, Ignasi Mundet i Riera, and Dietmar A. Salamon. The symplectic vortex equations and invariants of Hamiltonian group actions. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 1(3):543–645, 2002.
- [7] Kai Cieliebak, Ana Rita Gaio, and Dietmar A. Salamon. J -holomorphic curves, moment maps, and invariants of Hamiltonian group actions. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (16):831–882, 2000.
- [8] Ionut Ciocan-Fontanine and Mikhail Kapranov. Virtual fundamental classes via dg-manifolds, 2007. arXiv:math/0703214.
- [9] Tom Coates and Alexander Givental. Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(1):15–53, 2007.
- [10] S. K. Donaldson and P. Kronheimer. *The geometry of four-manifolds*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, New York, 1990.
- [11] A. J. Tolland Edward Frenkel, Constantin Teleman. Gromov-Witten gauge theory I. arXiv:0904.4834.
- [12] Ana Rita Pires Gaio and Dietmar A. Salamon. Gromov-Witten invariants of symplectic quotients and adiabatic limits. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 3(1):55–159, 2005.
- [13] A. B. Givental. Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (13):613–663, 1996.
- [14] E. Gonzalez, A. Ott, C. Woodward, and F. Ziltener. Symplectic vortices with fixed holonomy. in preparation.
- [15] E. Gonzalez and C. Woodward. Area-dependence in gauged Gromov-Witten theory. arXiv:0811.3358.
- [16] E. Gonzalez and C. Woodward. A gluing construction for symplectic vortices and holomorphic spheres. 2008 preprint.
- [17] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. Birational equivalence in the symplectic category. *Invent. Math.*, 97(3):485–522, 1989.
- [18] V. W. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. *Supersymmetry and equivariant de Rham theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. With an appendix containing two reprints by Henri Cartan [MR **13**,107e; MR **13**,107f].
- [19] Victor Guillemin, Eugene Lerman, and Shlomo Sternberg. *Symplectic fibrations and multiplicity diagrams*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [20] L. C. Jeffrey and F. C. Kirwan. Localization for nonabelian group actions. *Topology*, 34:291–327, 1995.
- [21] Roy Joshua. Riemann-Roch for algebraic stacks:III virtual structure sheaves and virtual fundamental classes. in preparation.
- [22] Roy Joshua. Riemann-Roch for algebraic stacks. I. *Compositio Math.*, 136(2):117–169, 2003.
- [23] G. Kempf and L. Ness. The length of vectors in representation spaces. In K. Lønsted, editor, *Algebraic Geometry*, volume 732 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 233–244, Copenhagen, 1978, 1979. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.
- [24] F. C. Kirwan. *Cohomology of Quotients in Symplectic and Algebraic Geometry*, volume 31 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1984.
- [25] Y.-P. Lee. Quantum K -theory. I. Foundations. *Duke Math. J.*, 121(3):389–424, 2004.
- [26] S. Martin. Symplectic quotients by a nonabelian group and by its maximal torus. math.SG/0001002.
- [27] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon. *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, volume 52 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [28] Ignasi Mundet i Riera. A Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for Kähler fibrations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 528:41–80, 2000.
- [29] Ignasi Mundet i Riera. Hamiltonian Gromov-Witten invariants. *Topology*, 42(3):525–553, 2003.
- [30] L. Ness. A stratification of the null cone via the moment map. *Amer. J. Math.*, 106(6):1281–1329, 1984. with an appendix by D. Mumford.
- [31] J. Råde. On the Yang-Mills heat equation in two and three dimensions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 431:123–163, 1992.

- [32] A. Ramanathan. Moduli for principal bundles over algebraic curves. I. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 106(3):301–328, 1996.
- [33] Matthieu Romagny. Group actions on stacks and applications. *Michigan Math. J.*, 53(1):209–236, 2005.
- [34] C. Teleman. The quantization conjecture revisited. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 152(1):1–43, 2000.
- [35] M. Thaddeus. Geometric invariant theory and flips. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(3):691–723, 1996.
- [36] B. Toen. Théorèmes de Riemann-Roch pour les champs de Deligne-Mumford. *K-Theory*, 18(1):33–76, 1999.
- [37] Jan Wehrheim. Vortex invariants and toric manifolds. arXiv:0812.0299.
- [38] C. Woodward and F. Ziltener. Functoriality for Gromov-Witten invariants under symplectic quotients. 2008 preprint.
- [39] Chris T. Woodward. Moment maps and invariant theory. under preparation, to appear in CIRM proceedings.
- [40] Chris T. Woodward. Localization via the norm-square of the moment map and the two-dimensional Yang-Mills integral. *J. Symp. Geom.*, 3(1):17–55, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS BOSTON 100 WILLIAM T. MORRISSEY BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02125

E-mail address: `eduardo@math.umb.edu`

MATHEMATICS-HILL CENTER, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN ROAD, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `ctw@math.rutgers.edu`